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Virtual Memory

Slides based on
• Text by Silberschatz, Galvin, Gagne
• Various sources
Questions from last time

• Cache memory:
  – serves as a cache for main memory (instructions and data)
  – Small subset of the info in main memory, but high hit rate

• TLB:
  – serves as a cache for Page Table
  – Small subset of the info in Page Table, but high hit rate

• Memory protection scheme:
  – Trap caused by attempts to
  – Write into a read-only segment/frame
  – Read/write outside a process’s address space

• “Segmentation fault”:
  – attempting to read outside of the process's address space, or writing to a read-only segment of the address space
Questions from last time

• Page table:
  – Index: page number; entry: frame number
  – Separate page table for each process

• Inverted Page table:
  – Index: frame number; entry: PID, page number
  – Single table for entire set of frames (less memory)
  – Table needs to be searched for each PID, page number pair (slow). May use hashing.
  – Used in the past.
Virtual Memory: Objectives

- A virtual memory system
- Demand paging, page-replacement algorithms, allocation of page frames to processes
- Threshing, the working-set model
- Memory-mapped files and shared memory and
- Kernel memory allocation

"You say we went out and I never called? I can't remember. My virtual memory must be low!"
Chapter 9: Virtual Memory

• Background
• Demand Paging
  – Copy-on-Write
• Page Replacement
• Allocation of Frames
  – Thrashing
• Memory-Mapped Files
• Allocating Kernel Memory
• Other Considerations
• Operating-System Examples
Background

- Code needs to be in memory to execute, but entire program rarely used
  - Error code, unusual routines, large data structures
- Entire program code not needed at the same time
- Consider ability to execute *partially-loaded program*
  - Program no longer constrained by limits of physical memory
  - Each program uses less memory while running -> more programs run at the same time
    - Increased CPU utilization and throughput with no increase in response time or turnaround time
  - Less I/O needed to load or swap programs into memory -> each user program runs faster
• **Virtual memory** – separation of user logical memory from physical memory

• **Virtual address space** – logical view of how process views memory
  – Usually start at address 0, contiguous addresses until end of space
  – Meanwhile, physical memory organized in page frames
  – MMU must map logical to physical

• **Virtual memory can be implemented via:**
  – Demand paging
  – Demand segmentation
Virtual Memory That is Larger Than Physical Memory

[Diagram showing virtual memory mapped to physical memory with paging]
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Virtual-address Space: advantages

- Usually design logical address space for stack to start at Max logical address and grow “down” while heap grows “up”
  - Maximizes address space use
  - Unused address space between the two is hole
    - No physical memory needed until heap or stack grows to a given new page
- Enables **sparse** address spaces with holes left for growth, dynamically linked libraries, etc.
- System libraries shared via mapping into virtual address space
- Shared memory by mapping pages read-write into virtual address space
- Pages can be shared during `fork()`, speeding process creation
Shared Library Using Virtual Memory
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Demand Paging

- Could bring entire process into memory at load time
- Or bring a page into memory only when it is needed: **Demand paging**
  - Less I/O needed, no unnecessary I/O
  - Less memory needed
  - Faster response
  - More users
- Similar to paging system with swapping (diagram on right)
- Page is needed ⇒ reference to it
  - invalid reference ⇒ abort
  - not-in-memory ⇒ bring to memory
- **“Lazy swapper”** – never swaps a page into memory unless page will be needed
  - Swapper that deals with pages is a pager
Demand paging: Basic Concepts

- Demand paging: pager brings in only those pages into memory what are needed
- How to determine that set of pages?
  - Need new MMU functionality to implement demand paging
- If pages needed are already memory resident
  - No difference from non-demand-paging
- If page needed and not memory resident
  - Need to detect and load the page into memory from storage
    - Without changing program behavior
    - Without programmer needing to change code
With each page table entry a valid–invalid bit is associated (\(v \Rightarrow \text{in-memory} - \text{memory resident}, \ i \Rightarrow \text{not-in-memory}\))

- Initially valid–invalid bit is set to \(i\) on all entries
- Example of a page table snapshot:

\[
\begin{array}{|c|c|}
\hline
\text{Frame \#} & \text{valid-invalid bit} \\
\hline
 & v \\
 & v \\
 & v \\
 & i \\
\ldots \\
 & i \\
 & i \\
\hline
\end{array}
\]

- During MMU address translation, if valid–invalid bit in page table entry is \(i\) \(\Rightarrow\) \text{page fault}
Page Table When Some Pages Are Not in Main Memory

Page 0 in Frame 4 (and disk)
Page 1 in Disk
Page Fault

- If there is a reference to a page, first reference to that page will trap to operating system: Page fault

**Page fault**

1. Operating system looks at a table to decide:
   - Invalid reference $\Rightarrow$ abort
   - Just not in memory, but in *backing storage*, $\Rightarrow$ 2

2. Find free frame
3. Get page into frame via scheduled disk operation
4. Reset tables to indicate page now in memory
   Set validation bit = $v$
5. Restart the instruction that caused the page fault

Page fault: context switch because disk access is needed
Questions for you

• What is disk space is full, physical memory is full, and the user launches a process?
• If physical memory (RAM) gets to be very big, do accesses to disk reduce?
• Is there ever a case where adding more memory does not help?
Solving a problem gives rise to a new class of problem:

- Contiguous allocation. **Problem:** external fragmentation
- Non-contiguous, but entire process in memory: **Problem:** Memory occupied by stuff needed only occasionally. Low degree of Multiprogramming.
- Demand Paging: **Problem:** page faults
- How to minimize page faults?
Steps in Handling a Page Fault

1. Trap
2. Bring in missing page
3. Page is on backing store
4. Reset page table
5. Free frame
6. Restart instruction

Load M

Operating system
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Performance of Demand Paging

Stages in Demand Paging (worse case)

1. Trap to the operating system
2. Save the user registers and process state
3. Determine that the interrupt was a page fault
4. Check that the page reference was legal and determine the location of the page on the disk
5. Issue a read from the disk to a free frame:
   1. Wait in a queue for this device until the read request is serviced
   2. Wait for the device seek and/or latency time
   3. Begin the transfer of the page to a free frame
6. While waiting, allocate the CPU to some other user
7. Receive an interrupt from the disk I/O subsystem (I/O completed)
8. Save the registers and process state for the other user
9. Determine that the interrupt was from the disk
10. Correct the page table and other tables to show page is now in memory
11. Wait for the CPU to be allocated to this process again
12. Restore the user registers, process state, and new page table, and then resume the interrupted instruction
Performance of Demand Paging (Cont.)

- Three major activities
  - Service the interrupt – careful coding means just several hundred instructions needed
  - Read the page – lots of time
  - Restart the process – again just a small amount of time

- Page Fault Rate $0 \leq p \leq 1$
  - if $p = 0$ no page faults
  - if $p = 1$, every reference is a fault

- Effective Access Time (EAT)
  $\text{EAT} = (1 - p) \times \text{memory access time}$
  $+ p \times (\text{page fault overhead} + \text{swap page out} + \text{swap page in})$

Hopefully $p << 1$

Page swap time = seek time + latency time
Demand Paging Numerical Example

- Memory access time = 200 nanoseconds
- Average page-fault service time = 8 milliseconds
- EAT = \((1 - p) \times 200 \text{ ns} + p \times (8 \text{ milliseconds})\)
  \[= (1 - p) \times 200 + p \times 8,000,000 \text{ nanoseconds}\]
  \[= 200 + p \times 7,999,800 \text{ ns}\]

- If one access out of 1,000 causes a page fault, then
  EAT = 8.2 microseconds.
  This is a slowdown by a factor of 40!!
- If want performance degradation < 10 percent, \(p = ?\)
  - \(220 > 200 + 7,999,800 \times p\)
  - \(20 > 7,999,800 \times p\)
  - \(p < .0000025\)
  - < one page fault in every 400,000 memory accesses
• Memory used for holding **program** pages
• **I/O buffers** also consume a big chunk of memory
• Solutions:
  – Fixed percentage set aside for I/O buffers
  – Processes and the I/O subsystem compete
Demand paging and the limits of logical memory

• Without demand paging
  – All pages of process **must be** in physical memory
  – Logical memory **limited** to size of physical memory

• With demand paging
  – All pages of process **need not be** in physical memory
  – Size of logical address space is **no longer constrained** by physical memory

• Example
  – 40 pages of physical memory
  – 6 processes each of which is 10 pages in size
    • Each process only needs 5 pages as of now
  – Run 6 processes with 10 pages to spare
Coping with over-allocation of memory

Example

• Physical memory = 40 pages
• 6 processes each of which is of size 10 pages
  – But are using 5 pages each as of now
• What happens if each process needs all 10 pages?
  – 60 physical frames needed
• **Option: Terminate** a user process
  – But paging should be transparent to the user
• **Option: Swap out** a process
  – Reduces the degree of multiprogramming
• **Option: Page replacement**: selected pages. Policy?
Copy-on-Write (on Fork)

- **Copy-on-Write** (COW) allows both parent and child processes to initially share the same pages in memory
  - If either process modifies a shared page, only then is page copied
- COW allows more efficient process creation as only modified pages are copied
- In general, free pages are allocated from a pool of zero-fill on-demand pages
  - Pool should always have free frames for fast demand page execution
    - Don’t want to have to free a frame as well as other processing on page fault
  - Why zero-out a page before allocating it? *(security)*

For security
Copy-on-write

Before Process 1 Modifies Page C

After Process 1 Modifies Page C
What Happens if there is no Free Frame?

• Could be all used up by process pages or kernel, I/O buffers, etc
  – How much to allocate to each?

• Page replacement – find some page in memory, but not really in use, page it out
  – Algorithm – terminate? swap out? replace the page?
  – Performance – want an algorithm which will result in minimum number of page faults

• Same page may be brought into memory several times

Continued to Page replacement etc...
Page Replacement

- Prevent **over-allocation** of memory by modifying page-fault service routine to include page replacement.
- Use **modify (dirty) bit** to reduce overhead of page transfers – only modified pages are written to disk.
- Page replacement completes separation between logical memory and physical memory – large virtual memory can be provided on a smaller physical memory.
Basic Page Replacement

1. Find the location of the desired page on disk

2. Find a free frame:
   - If there is a free frame, use it
   - If there is no free frame, use a page replacement algorithm to select a victim frame
     - Write victim frame to disk if dirty

3. Bring the desired page into the (newly) free frame; update the page and frame tables

4. Continue the process by restarting the instruction that caused the trap

Note now potentially 2 page transfers for page fault – increasing EAT
Page Replacement

1. Swap out victim page
2. Change to invalid
3. Swap desired page in
4. Reset page table for new page
Page Replacement Algorithms

• **Page-replacement algorithm**
  – Which frames to replace
  – Want lowest page-fault rate

• **Evaluate algorithm** by running it on a particular string of memory references (reference string) and computing the number of page faults on that string
  – String is just page numbers, not full addresses
  – Repeated access to the same page does not cause a page fault
  – Results depend on number of frames available

• In all our examples, we use **3** frames and the **reference string** of referenced page numbers is

\[7,0,1,2,0,3,0,4,2,3,0,3,2,1,2,0,1,7,0,1\]
Graph of Page Faults Versus The Number of Frames
Page Replacement Algorithms

Algorithms

- FIFO
- “Optimal”
- The Least Recently Used (LRU)
  - Exact Implementations
    - Time of use field, Stack
  - Approximate implementations
    - Reference bit
    - Reference bit with shift register
    - Second chance: clock
    - Enhanced second chance: dirty or not?

- Other
FIFO page replacement algorithm: Out with the old; in with the new

• When a page must be replaced
  – Replace the oldest one

• OS maintains list of all pages currently in memory
  – Page at head of the list: Oldest one
  – Page at the tail: Recent arrival

• During a page fault
  – Page at the head is removed
  – New page added to the tail
First-In-First-Out (FIFO) Algorithm

- Reference string: 7,0,1,2,0,3,0,4,2,3,0,3,2,1,2,0,1,7,0,1
- 3 frames (3 pages can be in memory at a time per process)

![Diagram of reference string and page frames]

- 15 page faults (out of 20 accesses)
- Sometimes a page is needed soon after replacement 7,0,1,2,0,3 (0 out),0, ..
Belady’s Anomaly

• Consider Page reference string 1,2,3,4,1,2,5,1,2,3,4,5
  – 3 frames, 9 faults, 4 frames 10 faults!
  – Adding more frames can cause more page faults!

  • Belady’s Anomaly

  ![Graph showing number of page faults vs. number of frames]

  3 frames: 9 page faults
  4 frames: 10 page faults
  (Try yourself)

Belady was here at CSU. Guest in my CS530!
“Optimal” Algorithm

- Replace page that will not be used for longest period of time

- 4th access: replace 7 because we will not use if got the longest time...
- 9 page replacements is optimal for the example

- But how do we know this?
  - Can’t read the future in reality.

- Used for *measuring* how well an algorithm performs
Least Recently Used (LRU) Algorithm

- Use past knowledge rather than future
- Replace page that has not been used in the most amount of time (4th access – page 7 is least recently used ...)
- Associate time of last use with each page

reference string

| 7 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 7 | 0 | 1 |
| 7 | 7 | 7 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 |

page frames

- 12 faults – better than FIFO (15) but worse than OPT (9)
- Generally good algorithm and frequently used
- But how to implement it by tracking the page usage?
LRU Algorithm: Implementations

Possible implementations

• Counter implementation
  – Every page entry has a counter; every time page is referenced through this entry, copy the clock into the counter
  – When a page needs to be changed, look at the counters to find smallest value
    • Search through table needed

• Stack implementation
  – Keep a stack of page numbers in a double link form:
  – Page referenced:
    • move it to the top
    • requires 6 pointers to be changed
  – Each update expensive
  – No search for replacement needed (bottom is least recently used)

LRU and OPT are cases of stack algorithms that don’t have Belady’s Anomaly
Use Of A Stack to Record Most Recent Page References

reference string

4 7 0 7 1 0 1 2 1 2 7 1 2

stack before a

2
1
0
7
4

stack after b

7
2
1
0
4

<- Least recently used

Too slow if done in software
• LRU needs special hardware and still slow

• **Reference bit**
  – With each page associate a bit, initially = 0
  – When the page is referenced, bit set to 1
  – Replace any page with reference bit = 0 (if one exists)
    • 0 implies not used since initialization
    • We do not know the order, however.

• Advanced schemes using more bits: preserve more information about the order
LRU approximation
Ref bit: 1 indicates used, Shift register records history

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ref Bit</th>
<th>Shift Register</th>
<th>Shift Register after OS timer interrupt</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0000 0000</td>
<td>1000 0000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1001 0001</td>
<td>1100 1000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0110 0011</td>
<td>0011 0001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

• Interpret 8-bit bytes as **unsigned integers**
• Page with the lowest number is the LRU page: replace.

Examples:
• 00000000 : Not used in last 8 periods
• 01100101 : Used 4 times in the last 8 periods
• 11000100 used more recently than 01110111
• **Second-chance algorithm**
  – Generally FIFO, plus hardware-provided reference bit
  – Avoid throwing out a heavily used page
  – **Clock** replacement (using circular queue): hand as a pointer
  – Consider next page
    • Reference bit = 0 -> replace it
    • reference bit = 1 then: give it another chance
      – set reference bit 0, leave page in memory
      – consider next page, subject to same rules
Second-Chance (clock) Page-Replacement Algorithm

- **Clock** replacement: hand as a pointer
- Consider next page
  - Reference bit = 0 -> replace it
  - Reference bit = 1 then:
    - set reference bit 0, leave page in memory
    - consider next page, subject to same rules
    - (a) change to 0
    - (b) replace page
Enhanced Second-Chance Algorithm

• Improve algorithm by using reference bit and modify bit (if available) in concert
  clean page: better replacement candidate
• Take ordered pair (reference, modify)
  1. (0, 0) neither recently used not modified – best page to replace
  2. (0, 1) not recently used but modified – not quite as good, must write out before replacement
  3. (1, 0) recently used but clean – probably will be used again soon
  4. (1, 1) recently used and modified – probably will be used again soon and need to write out before replacement
• When page replacement called for, use the clock scheme but use the four classes replace page in lowest non-empty class
  – Might need to search circular queue several times
Counting Algorithms

• Keep a counter of the number of references that have been made to each page
  – Not common

• **Least Frequently Used (LFU) Algorithm:** replaces page with smallest count

• **Most Frequently Used (MFU) Algorithm:** based on the argument that the page with the smallest count was probably just brought in and has yet to be used
Clever Techniques for enhancing Perf

• Keep a buffer (pool) of free frames, always
  – Then frame available when needed, not found at fault time
  – Read page into free frame and select victim to evict and add to free pool
  – When convenient, evict victim

• Keep list of modified pages
  – When backing store is otherwise idle, write pages there and set to non-dirty (being proactive!)

• Keep free frame previous contents intact and note what is in them
  – If referenced again before reused, no need to load contents again from disk
  – Generally useful to reduce penalty if wrong victim frame selected
Buffering and applications

• Some applications (like databases) often understand their memory/disk usage better than the OS
  – Provide their own buffering schemes
  – If both the OS and the application were to buffer
    • Twice the I/O is being utilized for a given I/O
  – OS may provide “raw access” disk to special programs without file system services.