## High-Performance Embedded Systems-on-a-Chip Lecture 12: Executing Alpha

Sanjay Rajopadhye

Computer Science, Colorado State University

Operational Semantics

- Operational Semantics
- Scanning polyhedra

- Operational Semantics
- Scanning polyhedra
- Horrendously inefficient code generation

- Operational Semantics
- Scanning polyhedra
- Horrendously inefficient code generation
- (In)efficient code: allocation memory for domains

- Operational Semantics
- Scanning polyhedra
- Horrendously inefficient code generation
- (In)efficient code: allocation memory for domains
- Efficient code generation

# **Operational Semantics of Alpha** (expressions)

- Expressions denote mappings from indices to values
- Define a function Eval : ⟨Exp⟩ × Z<sup>n</sup> → Type that actually (operationally) computes this mapping.

$$\mathsf{Eval}(\langle \exp \rangle, z) = \begin{cases} \mathsf{Eval}'(z) & \text{if } z \in \mathcal{D}(\exp) \\ \bot & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

- Eval is defined recursively
- Six syntax rules  $\Rightarrow$  six cases

#### The Eval' function

#### • Eval'((Const), z) = C

- Eval'( $\langle Const \rangle, z) = C$
- $\operatorname{Eval}'(\langle \mathtt{E1} \rangle \mathtt{op} \langle \mathtt{E2} \rangle, z) = \operatorname{Eval}'(\langle \mathtt{E1} \rangle, z) \oplus \operatorname{Eval}'(\langle \mathtt{E2} \rangle, z)$

- Eval'( $\langle Const \rangle, z) = C$
- $\operatorname{Eval}'(\langle \mathtt{E1} \rangle \mathtt{op} \langle \mathtt{E2} \rangle, z) = \operatorname{Eval}'(\langle \mathtt{E1} \rangle, z) \oplus \operatorname{Eval}'(\langle \mathtt{E2} \rangle, z)$
- Eval'(case.. $\langle \text{Ei} \rangle$ ..esac, z) =
  - Eval'( $\langle \text{Ei} \rangle, z$ ) if  $z \in \mathcal{D}(\langle \text{Ei} \rangle)$ :

- Eval'( $\langle Const \rangle, z \rangle = C$
- $\operatorname{Eval}'(\langle \mathtt{E1} \rangle \mathtt{op} \langle \mathtt{E2} \rangle, z) = \operatorname{Eval}'(\langle \mathtt{E1} \rangle, z) \oplus \operatorname{Eval}'(\langle \mathtt{E2} \rangle, z)$
- $Eval'(case..\langle Ei \rangle ..esac, z) =$ 
  - Eval'( $\langle \text{Ei} \rangle, z$ ) if  $z \in \mathcal{D}(\langle \text{Ei} \rangle)$ :
- $Eval'(D: \langle E \rangle, z) = Eval'(\langle E \rangle, z)$

- Eval'( $\langle Const \rangle, z) = C$
- $\operatorname{Eval}'(\langle \mathtt{E1} \rangle \operatorname{op} \langle \mathtt{E2} \rangle, z) = \operatorname{Eval}'(\langle \mathtt{E1} \rangle, z) \oplus \operatorname{Eval}'(\langle \mathtt{E2} \rangle, z)$
- Eval'(case.. $\langle \text{Ei} \rangle$ ..esac, z) =
  - .  $\mathsf{Eval}'(\langle \mathsf{Ei} \rangle, z) \quad \text{if } z \in \mathcal{D}(\langle \mathsf{Ei} \rangle)$  :
- $Eval'(D: \langle E \rangle, z) = Eval'(\langle E \rangle, z)$
- $Eval'(\langle E \rangle.f,z) = Eval'(\langle E \rangle,f(z))$

- Eval'( $\langle Const \rangle, z) = C$
- $\operatorname{Eval}'(\langle \mathtt{E1} \rangle \operatorname{op} \langle \mathtt{E2} \rangle, z) = \operatorname{Eval}'(\langle \mathtt{E1} \rangle, z) \oplus \operatorname{Eval}'(\langle \mathtt{E2} \rangle, z)$
- Eval'(case..(Ei)..esac, z) =
  - $\mathsf{Eval}'(\langle \mathtt{Ei} \rangle, z) \quad \text{if } z \in \mathcal{D}(\langle \mathtt{Ei} \rangle)$
- $Eval'(D: \langle E \rangle, z) = Eval'(\langle E \rangle, z)$
- $Eval'(\langle E \rangle.f,z) = Eval'(\langle E \rangle,f(z))$
- $Eval'(\langle Var \rangle, z) = EvalVar(z)$

#### Semantics of Equations

Equations do not denote mappings from indices to values

- Equations do not denote mappings from indices to values
- Denotational Semantics: Equations denote "additions" to a "store of definitions"

- Equations do not denote mappings from indices to values
- Denotational Semantics: Equations denote "additions" to a "store of definitions"
- Operational Semantics:

- Equations do not denote mappings from indices to values
- Denotational Semantics: Equations denote "additions" to a "store of definitions"
- Operational Semantics:

 $Eval(Var = \langle Exp \rangle) = (defun EvalVar(Eval(\langle Exp \rangle z)))$ 

- Denotational Semantics: programs denote mappings from input variables to output variables
- (strict) Operational Semantics:
  - 1. Read Input Variables
  - 2. Compute (Local) and Output Variables
  - 3. Write Output Variables

- Given a polyhedron  $\mathcal{P}$
- Problem: (generate code to) visit (in lexicographic order) all the integer points in P

- 1. Read Input Variables
- 2. Write Output Variables (computing only those local variables that are necessary)

- 1. Read Input Variables
- 2. Write Output Variables (computing only those local variables that are necessary)

this will yield horribly inefficient code

- Use memoization to avoid recomputation
- Allocate memory, store previously computed values, and evaluate only (at most) once

 Main Drawback: Too many context switches – factor of 5 to 8 for simple examples

- Main Drawback: Too many context switches factor of 5 to 8 for simple examples
- Solution: Determine a schedule, and visit the points in the domains of the variables in that order

- Main Drawback: Too many context switches factor of 5 to 8 for simple examples
- Solution: Determine a schedule, and visit the points in the domains of the variables in that order
- Key issues:
  - How to determine a schedule
  - How to exploit this to generate code (scanning unions of polyhedra)