Low-Level Issues

Last lecture

- Interprocedural analysis

Today

- Start low-level issues
- Register allocation

Later

- More register allocation
- Instruction scheduling

Register Allocation

Problem

- Assign an unbounded number of **symbolic** registers to a fixed number of **architectural** registers (which might get renamed by the hardware to some number of **physical** registers)
- Simultaneously live data must be assigned to different architectural registers

Goal

- Minimize overhead of accessing data
  - Memory operations (loads & stores)
  - Register moves

Scope of Register Allocation

Expression

Local

Loop

 **Global**

Interprocedural

Granularity of Allocation

***What* is allocated to registers?**

- Variables
- Live ranges/Webs (*i.e.,* du-chains with common uses)
- Values (*i.e.,* definitions; same as variables with SSA & copy propagation)





Variables: 2 (**x** & **y**)
Live Ranges/Web: 3 ($t_1 \rightarrow t_2, t_4$; $t_2 \rightarrow t_3$; $t_3, t_5 \rightarrow t_6$)
Values: 4 (t_1, t_2, t_3, t_5, $\phi(t_3, t_5)$)

What are the tradeoffs?

Each allocation unit is given a symbolic register name (*e.g.,* `s1`, `s2`, *etc.*)

Global Register Allocation by Graph Coloring

Idea [Cocke 71], First allocator [Chaitin 81]

1. Construct **interference graph** $G=(N,E)$
   - Represents notion of "simultaneously live"
   - Nodes are units of allocation (*e.g.,* variables, live ranges/webs)
   - $\exists$ edge $(n_1,n_2) \in E$ if n_1 and n_2 are simultaneously live
   - Symmetric (not reflexive nor transitive)
2. Find ***k*-coloring** of G (for k registers)
   - Adjacent nodes can't have same color
3. **Allocate** the same register to all allocation units of the same color
   - Adjacent nodes must be allocated to distinct registers



Interference Graph Example (Variables)





Computing the Interference Graph

Use results of live variable analysis

for each symbolic-register s_i **do**
    for each symbolic-register s_j ($j < i$) **do**
        for each def $\in$ {definitions of s_i} **do**
            if (s_j is live at def) **then**
                $E \leftarrow E \cup (s_i, s_j)$

Coalescing

Move instructions

- Code generation can produce unnecessary move instructions
```
mov t1, t2
```
- If we can assign `t1` and `t2` to the same register, we can eliminate the move

Idea

- If `t1` and `t2` are not connected in the interference graph, **coalesce** them into a single variable

Problem

- Coalescing can increase the number of edges and make a graph uncolorable
- Limit coalescing to avoid uncolorable graphs

t1 t2 → coalesce → t2

Allocating Registers Using the Interference Graph

***K*-coloring**

- Color graph nodes using up to *k* colors
- Adjacent nodes must have different colors

Allocating to *k* registers ≡ finding a *k*-coloring of the interference graph

- Adjacent nodes must be allocated to distinct registers

But. . .

- Optimal graph coloring is NP-complete
  - Register allocation is NP-complete, too (must approximate)
- What if we can't *k*-color a graph? (must **spill**)

Spilling

If we can't find a k-coloring of the interference graph

- Spill variables (nodes) until the graph is colorable

Choosing variables to spill

- Choose least frequently accessed variables
- Break ties by choosing nodes with the most conflicts in the interference graph
- Yes, these are heuristics!

Weighted Interference Graph

Goal

- Weight(s) = $\sum_{\forall \text{ references } r \text{ of } s} f(r)$ $f(r)$ is execution frequency of r

Static approximation

- Use some reasonable scheme to rank variables
- One possibility
  - Weight(s) = 1
  - Nodes after branch: ½ weight of branch
  - Nodes in loop: 10 × weight of nodes outside loop

Simple Greedy Algorithm for Register Allocation

for each $n \in N$ **do** { select n in decreasing order of weight }
  if n can be colored **then**
    do it { reserve a register for n }
  else
    Remove n (and its edges) from graph { allocate n to stack (spill) }

Example

Attempt to 3-color this graph (, ,)



Weighted order:
a_1
b
c
d
a_2
e

What if you use a different weighting?

Example

Attempt to 2-color this graph (,)



Weighted order:
a
b
c

Improvement #1: Simplification Phase [Chaitin 81]

Idea

- Nodes with < *k* neighbors are guaranteed colorable

Remove them from the graph first

- Reduces the degree of the remaining nodes

Must spill only when all remaining nodes have degree ≥ *k*

Algorithm [Chaitin81]

```
while interference graph not empty do
    while ∃ a node n with < k neighbors do          } simplify
        Remove n from the graph
        Push n on a stack
    if any nodes remain in the graph then { blocked with >= k edges }   } spill
        Pick a node n to spill              { lowest spill-cost or }
        Add n to spill set                  {    highest degree }
        Remove n from the graph
if spill set not empty then
    Insert spill code for all spilled nodes   { store after def; load before use }
    Reconstruct interference graph & start over
while stack not empty do                            } color
        Pop node n from stack
        Allocate n to a register
```


More on Spilling

Chaitin's algorithm restarts the whole process on spill

- Necessary, because spill code (loads/stores) uses registers
- Okay, because it usually only happens a couple times

Alternative

- Reserve 2-3 registers for spilling
- Don't need to start over
- But have fewer registers to work with


Example
Attempt to 3-color this graph (, ,)
Stack:
d
c
b
a2
a1
e
a1
b
e
c
a2
d
Weighted order:
e
a1
a2
b
c
d
CS553 Lecture
Register Allocation I
20


Example
Attempt to 2-color this graph (,)
Spill Set:
e
a1
a2
b
Stack:
d
c
a1
b
e
c
a2
d
Weighted order:
e
a1
a2
b
c
d
Many nodes remain uncolored even though we could clearly do better
CS553 Lecture
Register Allocation I
21

The Problem: Worst Case Assumptions

Is the following graph 2-colorable?



Clearly 2-colorable

- But Chaitin's algorithm leads to an immediate block and spill
- The algorithm assumes the worst case, namely, that all neighbors will be assigned a different color

Improvement #2: Optimistic Spilling [Briggs 89]



Idea

- Some neighbors might get the same color
- Nodes with *k* neighbors **might** be colorable
- Blocking does not imply that spilling is necessary
  - Push blocked nodes on stack (rather than place in spill set)
  - Check colorability upon popping the stack, when more information is available

} Defer decision

Algorithm [Briggs *et al.* 89]

while interference graph not empty **do**
  while ∃ a node *n* with < *k* neighbors **do** } simplify
    Remove *n* from the graph
    Push *n* on a stack
  if any nodes remain in the graph **then** { *blocked* with >= k edges }
    Pick a node *n* to spill { lowest spill-cost/highest degree }
    Push *n* on stack } defer decision
    Remove *n* from the graph
while stack not empty **do**
  Pop node *n* from stack } make decision
  if n is colorable **then**
    Allocate *n* to a register
  else
    Insert spill code for *n* { Store after def; load before use }
    Reconstruct interference graph & start over



Improvement #3: Live Range Splitting [Chow & Hennessy 84]

Idea

- Start with variables as our allocation unit
- When a variable can't be allocated, split it into multiple subranges for separate allocation
- Selective spilling: put some subranges in registers, some in memory
- Insert memory operations at boundaries

Why is this a good idea?

Improvement #4: Rematerialization [Chaitin 82]&[Briggs 84]

Idea

- Selectively re-compute values rather than loading from memory
- "Reverse CSE"

Easy case

- Value can be computed in single instruction, and
- All operands are available

Examples

- Constants
- Addresses of global variables
- Addresses of local variables (on stack)

Next Time

Lecture

- More register allocation
  - Allocation across procedure calls