# **CS370 Operating Systems**

### Colorado State University Yashwant K Malaiya Fall 2021 L12 Synchronization (Chap 6, 7)



#### Slides based on

- Text by Silberschatz, Galvin, Gagne
- Various sources

## FAQ

Notes: we are using the terms in a generic way. There are specific implementations for <u>POSIX</u> and <u>Java</u>.

- Atomic instructions: Hardware (Assembly/Machine), not high level like C
- Mutex (0 or 1): for mutual exclusion (lock). Owned by the locking process which acquires/releases by
  - wait() get the resource or join the waiting list
  - signal() release the resource, and wake up a process
- Semaphores (any integer value): general, may be used for counting resources/waiting processes. Shared. Applicable to different types of synchronization problems.
  - 0: no waiting threads
  - Positive: no waiting threads, a wait operation would not put the invoking thread in queue.
  - Negative: number of processes/threads waiting
- Semaphore implementation
  - Hardware/software implementations to ensure wait() and signal() atomic.
- Semaphore usage: see POSIX/Java documentation.
   Colorado State University

# Project

- See <u>Document</u>: Schedule/Proj Proposal or Canvas/Assignments
- **Choices:** Research (topics provided) or development (IoT). Some research/original thinking required for either.
- Deadlines: subject to revision.
  - D1. Team composition and idea proposal, Fri 10/01/2021
  - D2. Progress report, Thurs 11/04/2021
  - D3. Slides and final reports, Thurs 12/02/2021
  - D4. Presentations/demos 12/06-12/08 as arranged
  - D5: Peer Reviews due 12/11/2021 Sat



## What does the Mars parachute say?



## Colorado State University

# **Classical Problems of Synchronization**

#### Classical problems

- Bounded-Buffer Problem
- Readers and Writers Problem
- Dining-Philosophers Problem
- Bounded buffer Review
  - n buffers, each can hold one item
  - A binary semaphore: mutex
    - Provides mutual exclusion for accesses to buffer pool
    - Initialized to 1
  - Two counting semaphores
    - empty: Number of empty slots available, Initialized to n
    - full: Number of filled slots available n, Initialized to 0



## **Readers-Writers Problem**

- A data set is shared among a number of concurrent processes
  - Readers only read the data set; they do *not* perform any updates
  - Writers can both read and write
- Problem
  - allow multiple readers to read at the same time
  - Only one single writer can access the shared data at the same time. No readers permitted when writer is accessing the data.
- Data set, integer **read\_count** (number of readers)
  - Semaphore rw\_mutex (writing), Semaphore mutex (for read\_count)



## **Readers-Writers Problem**

• The structure of a reader process

```
do {
     wait(mutex);
        read count++;
        if (read count == 1)
                                   if the first reader process
                                    wait for writer to finish
             wait(rw mutex);
    signal(mutex);
         . . .
        /* reading is performed */
         . . .
    wait(mutex);
        read count--;
                                  if the only reader finishes
        if (read count == 0)
                                  writer can get in
            signal(rw mutex);
    signal(mutex);
} while (true);
```

First reader needs to wait for the writer to finish. If other readers are already reading, a new reader Process just goes in.



# **Dining-Philosophers** Problem

- Philosophers spend their lives alternating thinking and eating
- Don't interact with their neighbors, occasionally try to pick up 2 chopsticks (one at a time) to eat from bowl
  - Need both to eat,
  - then release both when done
- Each chopstick is a semaphore
  - Grab by executing wait ()
  - Release by executing signal ()
- Shared data
  - Bowl of rice (data set)
  - Semaphore chopstick [5] initialized to 1





## **Dining-Philosophers Problem**



Plato, Confucius, Socrates, Voltaire and Descartes



• The structure of Philosopher *i*:

} while (TRUE);

- What is the problem with this algorithm?
  - If all of them pick up the the left chopstick first -Deadlock



- Deadlock handling
  - Allow at most 4 philosophers to be sitting simultaneously at the table (with the same 5 forks).
  - Allow a philosopher to pick up the forks only if both are available (picking must be done in a critical section.
  - Use an asymmetric solution -- an odd-numbered philosopher picks up first the left chopstick and then the right chopstick. Even-numbered philosopher picks up first the right chopstick and then the left chopstick.



## **Problems with Semaphores**

- Incorrect use of semaphore operations:
  - Omitting of wait (mutex)
    - Violation of mutual exclusion
  - or signal (mutex)
    - Deadlock!
- Solution:
  - Monitors: a higher level implementation of synchronization



## Monitors



## Monitors

**Monitor**: A high-level abstraction that provides a convenient and effective mechanism for process synchronization

- Abstract data type, internal variables only accessible by code within the procedure
- Only one process may be active within the monitor at a time
  - Automatically provide mutual exclusion
  - Implement waiting for conditions
- Queues:
  - for entry
  - for each condition
- Originally proposed for Concurrent Pascal 1975
- Directly supported by Java (see self exercise) but not C



## Monitors

- Only one process may be active in the monitor.
- A generic monitor construct is used here. Implementation varies by language.

```
monitor monitor-name
{
    // shared variable declarations
    procedure P1 (...) { .... }
    procedure Pn (...) { .....}
    Initialization code (...) { .... }
  }
}
```



#### Preliminary Schematic view of a Monitor



Shows 4 processes waiting in the queue. Colorado State University

# **Condition Variables**

Some actions need some conditions to go ahead.

The condition construct

• condition x, y;

Compare with semaphore. Here no integer value is associated.

- Two operations are allowed on a condition variable:
  - x.wait() a process that invokes the operation
    is suspended until x.signal()
  - x.signal() resumes one of processes (if any)
    that invoked x.wait()
    - If no **x.wait()** on the condition variable, then it has no effect on the variable. *Signal is lost.*



- Condition variables in Monitors: Not persistent
  - If a signal is performed and no waiting threads?
    - Signal is simply ignored
  - During subsequent wait operations
    - Thread (or process) blocks
- Compare with semaphores
  - Signal increments semaphore value even if there are no waiting threads
    - Future wait operations would immediately succeed!



## **Monitor with Condition Variables**





## **Condition Variables Choices**

- If process P invokes x.signal(), and process Q is suspended in x.wait(), what should happen next?
  - Both Q and P cannot execute in parallel. If Q is resumed, then P must wait
- Options include
  - Signal and wait P waits until Q either leaves the monitor or it waits for another condition
  - Signal and continue Q waits until P either leaves the monitor or it waits for another condition
  - Both have pros and cons language implementer can decide
  - Monitors implemented in Concurrent Pascal ('75) compromise
    - P executing signal immediately leaves the monitor, Q is resumed
    - Implemented in other languages including C#, Java

## Colorado State University

#### Monitor Solution to Dining Philosophers: Deadlock-free

enum {THINKING,HUNGRY,EATING} state[5];

• state[i] = EATING only if

```
- state[(i+4)%5] != EATING && state[(i+1)%5] != EATING
```

- condition self[5]
  - Delay self when HUNGRY but unable to get chopsticks

#### Sequence of actions

- Before eating, must invoke pickup()
  - May result in suspension of philosopher process
  - After completion of operation, philosopher may eat

```
think
DiningPhilosophers.pickup(i);
eat
DiningPhilosophers.putdown(i);
think
```





#### Monitor Solution to Dining Philosophers: Deadlock-free

enum {THINKING, HUNGRY, EATING} state[5];





#### The pickup() and putdown() operations

#### monitor DiningPhilosophers



## **Possibility of starvation**

- Philosopher i can starve if eating periods of philosophers on left and right overlap
- Possible solution
  - Introduce new state: STARVING
  - Chopsticks can be picked up if no neighbor is starving
    - Effectively wait for neighbor's neighbor to stop eating
    - REDUCES concurrency!



#### **Monitor Implementation of Mutual Exclusion**

For each monitor

- Semaphore mutex initialized to 1
- Process must execute
  - wait(mutex) : Before entering the monitor
  - signal(mutex): Before leaving the monitor



# **Resuming Processes within a Monitor**

- If several processes queued on condition x, and x.signal() is executed, which should be resumed?
- FCFS frequently not adequate
- conditional-wait construct of the form x.wait(c)
  - Where c is priority number
  - Process with lowest number (highest priority) is scheduled next



## **Single Resource allocation**

 Allocate a single resource among competing processes using priority numbers that specify the maximum time a process plans to use the resource

```
R.acquire(t);
...
access the resource;
...
R.release;
```

- Where R is an instance of type **ResourceAllocator**
- A monitor based solution next.



### A Monitor to Allocate Single Resource

#### monitor ResourceAllocator





# Java Synchronization

- For simple synchronization, Java provides the synchronized keyword
  - synchronizing methods

```
public synchronized void increment() { c++; }
```

synchronizing blocks

```
synchronized(this) {
```

lastName = name;

```
nameCount++;
```

- wait() and notify() allows a thread to wait for an event. A call to notifyAll() allows all threads that are on wait() with the same lock to be notified.
- notify() notifies one thread from a pool of identical threads, notifyAll() when threads have different purposes
- For more sophisticated locking mechanisms, starting from Java 5, the package java.concurrent.locks provides additional capabilities.



# Java Synchronization



Each object automatically has a monitor (mutex) associated with it

• When a method is synchronized, the runtime must obtain the lock on the object's monitor before execution of that method begins (and must release the lock before control returns to the calling code)

wait() and notify() allows a thread to wait for an event.

- wait(): Causes the current thread to wait until another thread invokes the notify() method or the notifyAll() method for this object.
- notify(): Wakes up a single thread that is waiting on this object's monitor. If any threads are waiting on this object, one of them is chosen to be awakened.
- A call to notifyall() allows all threads that are on wait() with the same lock to be released, they will run in sequence according to priority.

## Colorado State University

#### Java Synchronization: Dining Philosophers

```
private synchronized void test(int i) {
public synchronized void pickup(int i)
                                                    if (state[left(i)] != State.EATING &&
  throws InterruptedException {
                                                       state[right(i)] != State.EATING &&
    setState(i, State.HUNGRY);
                                                      state[i] == State.HUNGRY)
    test(i);
    while (state[i] != State.EATING) {
      this.wait();
                                                       setState(i, State.EATING);
      // Recheck condition in loop,
                                                      // Wake up all waiting threads
      // since we might have been notified
                                                      this.notifyAll();
      // when we were still hungry
public synchronized void putdown(int i) {
    setState(i, State.THINKING);
    test(right(i));
    test(left(i));
```



## Synchronization Examples

- Solaris
- Windows
- Linux
- Pthreads



# **Solaris Synchronization**

- Implements a variety of locks to support multitasking, multithreading (including real-time threads), and multiprocessing
- Uses adaptive mutexes for efficiency when protecting data from short code segments
  - Starts as a standard semaphore spin-lock
  - If lock held, and by a thread running on another CPU, spins
  - If lock held by non-run-state thread, block and sleep waiting for signal of lock being released
- Uses condition variables
- Uses readers-writers locks when longer sections of code need access to data
- Uses turnstiles to order the list of threads waiting to acquire either an adaptive mutex or reader-writer lock
  - Turnstiles are per-lock-holding-thread, not per-object
- Priority-inheritance per-turnstile gives the running thread the highest of the priorities of the threads in its turnstile



## Windows Synchronization

- Uses interrupt masks to protect access to global resources on uniprocessor systems
- Uses spinlocks on multiprocessor systems
  - Spinlocking-thread will never be preempted
- Also provides dispatcher objects user-land which may act mutexes, semaphores, events, and timers
  - Events
    - An event acts much like a condition variable
  - Timers notify one or more thread when time expired
  - Dispatcher objects either signaled-state (object available) or non-signaled state (thread will block)



# Linux Synchronization

- Linux:
  - Prior to kernel Version 2.6, disables interrupts to implement short critical sections
  - Version 2.6 and later, fully preemptive
- Linux provides:
  - Semaphores
  - atomic operations on integers
  - spinlocks
  - reader-writer versions of both
- On single-cpu system, spinlocks replaced by enabling and disabling kernel preemption



## **Pthreads Synchronization**

- Pthreads API is OS-independent
- It provides:
  - mutex locks
  - condition variable
- Non-portable extensions include:
  - read-write locks
  - spinlocks



## **Alternative Approaches**

- Transactional Memory
- OpenMP
- Functional Programming Languages



### **Transactional Memory**

• A memory transaction is a sequence of read-write operations to memory that are performed atomically without the use of locks.

```
void update() {
    atomic{
        /* modify shared data*/
        }
    }
May be implemented by hardware or software.
```



### **OpenMP**

• OpenMP is a set of compiler directives and API that support parallel programming.

```
void update(int value)
{
    #pragma omp critical
    {
        count += value
    }
}
```

The code contained within the **#pragma omp critical** directive is treated as a critical section and performed atomically.



# **CS370 Operating Systems**

#### Colorado State University Yashwant K Malaiya Spring 2020



## Deadlock

#### Slides based on

- Text by Silberschatz, Galvin, Gagne
- Various sources

## **Chapter 8: Deadlocks**

- System Model
- Deadlock Characterization
- Methods for Handling Deadlocks
  - Deadlock Prevention
  - Deadlock Avoidance resource-allocation
  - Deadlock Detection
  - Recovery from Deadlock



## Deadlock

• Can you give a real life example of a deadlock?



## A Kansas Law

- Early 20<sup>th</sup> century Kansas Law
  - "When two trains approach each other at a crossing, both shall come to a full stop and neither shall start up again until the other has gone"
- <u>Story of the two silly goats</u>: Aesop 6<sup>th</sup> cent BCE?





## A contemporary example



## Colorado State University

## System Model

- System consists of resources
- Resource types  $R_1, R_2, \ldots, R_m$ CPU cycles, memory space, I/O devices
- Each resource type R<sub>i</sub> has W<sub>i</sub> instances.
- Each process utilizes a resource as follows:
  - request
  - use
  - release



#### Example of a Resource Allocation Graph

P1 holds an instance of R2, and is requesting R1 ..

If the graph contains no cycles, then no process in the system is deadlocked. If the graph does contain a cycle, then a deadlock may exist.



Does a deadlock exist here?

P3 will eventually be done with R3, letting P2 use it.

Thus P2 will be eventually done, releasing R1. ...

