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Slides based on
• Text by Silberschatz, Galvin, Gagne
• Various sources
Needed

• Project Slides/Videos: available on teams
  – Thank you all for sharing.
• You need to review
  – Two research projects
  – Two development projects
  – Members of your team
  – Identify one best research and one best development project.
• Review form due Dec 11, 2021.
• Please finish course survey (Available in Canvas) by ASAP, if not already done.
Undergraduate Teaching Assistant (UTA) Positions:

• We are looking for a couple of good UTAs for CS370 for Spring 2022.
• The UTAs are a part of the class team along with the GTAs. The UTAs work for 10 hours a week. Please apply soon using Handshake. Let me know if you have any questions.
Final

• Final: Comprehensive but mostly from the second half. 2 Hours.

• Mix: Problem solving, Diagram explanation, True/False, Multiple choice, blanks etc.

• Rules:

• Sec 001, 801 local:
  – may not sit next to usual neighbors or fellow team members.
  – May not leave the room without permission.
  – No scratch paper permitted. Permitted Scientific calculators allowed.
Grading

- Project D1, D2, D3, D4, D5 (raw/adjusted)
- Participation (raw/adjusted)
- Final (raw/adjusted)
- Letter Grades, possible adjustment
Study/Resources

• Terms, concepts, implementations, algorithms, problems
• Lecture slides
  – Also see Midterm Review Slides on website
  – Possible questions not limited to Review Slides
• Quizzes, assignments
• Textbook
Deadlock Prevention

– If any one of the conditions for deadlock (with reusable resources) is denied, deadlock is impossible.

– Restrain ways in which requests can be made
  
  • Mutual Exclusion - cannot deny (important)
  
  • Hold and Wait - guarantee that when a process requests a resource, it does not hold other resources.

  • No Preemption
    – If a process that is holding some resources requests another resource that cannot be immediately allocated to it, the process releases the resources currently being held.

  • Circular Wait
    – Impose a total ordering of all resource types.
Deadlock Avoidance

– Requires that the system has some additional apriori information available.
  – Simplest and most useful model requires that each process declare the maximum number of resources of each type that it may need.

– Computation of Safe State
  – When a process requests an available resource, system must decide if immediate allocation leaves the system in a safe state. Sequence \(<P_1, P_2, \ldots, P_n>\) is safe, if for each \(P_i\), the resources that \(P_i\) can still request can be satisfied by currently available resources + resources held by \(P_j\) with \(j < i\).
  – Safe state - no deadlocks, unsafe state - possibility of deadlocks
  – Avoidance - system will never reach unsafe state.
Example: 12 Tape drives available in the system

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Max need</th>
<th>Current need</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>P0</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P2</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

At T0:
3 drives available

Safe sequence
<P1, P0, P2>

• At time T0 the system is in a safe state because
  – P1 can be given 2 tape drives
  – When P1 releases its resources; there are 5 drives
  – P0 uses 5 and subsequently releases them (# 10 now)
  – P2 can then proceed.
Algorithms for Deadlock Avoidance

- Resource allocation graph algorithm
  - only one instance of each resource type

- Banker’s algorithm
  - Used for multiple instances of each resource type.
  - Data structures required
    - Available, Max, Allocation, Need
  - Safety algorithm
  - resource request algorithm for a process.

Suppose P2 requests R2. Although R2 is currently free, we cannot allocate it to P2, since this action will create a cycle getting system is in an unsafe state. If P1 requests R2, and P2 requests R1, then a deadlock will occur.
Deadlock Detection

• Allow system to enter deadlock state

• Detection Algorithm
  – Single instance of each resource type
    – use wait-for graph
  – Multiple instances of each resource type
    – variation of banker’s algorithm

• Recovery Scheme
  • Process Termination
  • Resource Preemption

Resource-Allocation Graph
Corresponding wait-for graph

Has cycles. Deadlock.
Binding of instructions and data to memory

- Address binding of instructions and data to memory addresses can happen at three different stages.
  - Compile time, Load time, Execution time

- Other techniques for better memory utilization
  - Dynamic Loading - Routine is not loaded until it is called.
  - Dynamic Linking - Linking postponed until execution time
  - Swapping - A process can be swapped temporarily out of memory to a backing store and then brought back into memory for continued execution

- MMU - Memory Management Unit
  - Hardware device that maps virtual to physical address.
Dynamic Storage Allocation Problem

- How to satisfy a request of size n from a list of free holes.
  - First-fit
  - Best-fit
  - Worst-fit

- Fragmentation
  - External fragmentation
    - total memory space exists to satisfy a request, but it is not contiguous.
  - Internal fragmentation
    - allocated memory may be slightly larger than requested memory; this size difference is memory internal to a partition, but not being used.
  - Reduce external fragmentation by compaction
Page Table Implementation

- Page table is kept in main memory
  - Page-table base register (PTBR) points to the page table.
  - Page-table length register (PTLR) indicates the size of page table.
  - Every data/instruction access requires 2 memory accesses.
    - One for page table, one for data/instruction
    - Two-memory access problem solved by use of special fast-lookup hardware cache (i.e. cache page table in registers)
      - associative registers or translation look-aside buffers (TLBs)
Effective Access Time (EAT)

- Item in faster unit or in slower unit
- How often it is found in the faster unit?
  - Access time less if in the faster medium
  - Access time higher if in the slower medium

- Simplification: only two layers considered
- Approximation: some overhead may be ignored

Case 1: Need: page number to frame number mapping
- Faster unit: TLB
- Slower unit: full Page table in memory

Should you understand the process or memorize the formula?
Effective Access Time

- **Hit ratio** = $\alpha$
  - Hit ratio – percentage of times that a page number is found in the TLB
- **Associative Lookup** = $\varepsilon$ time unit
- **Memory access time** = 100 ns

- **Effective Access Time (EAT)**
  \[
  EAT = (100 + \varepsilon) \alpha + (200 + \varepsilon)(1 - \alpha)
  \]

Consider $\alpha = 80\%$, $\varepsilon = 20$ns for TLB search, 100ns for memory access
  - EAT = $120 \times 0.80 + 220 \times 0.20 = 140$ns

- Consider higher hit ratio -> $\alpha = 99\%$, $\varepsilon = 20$ns for TLB search, 100ns for memory access
  - EAT = $120 \times 0.99 + 220 \times 0.01 = 121$ns
Paging Methods

- Multilevel Paging
  - Each level is a separate table in memory
  - Converting a logical address to a physical one may take 4 or more memory accesses.
  - Caching can help performance remain reasonable.

- Hashed page table

- Inverted Page Tables
  - One entry for each real page of memory. Entry consists of virtual address of page in real memory with information about process that owns page.
Virtual Memory

• Virtual Memory
  • Separation of user logical memory from physical memory.
  • Only **PART** of the program needs to be in memory for execution.
  • Logical address space can therefore be much larger than physical address space.
  • Need to allow pages to be swapped in and out.

• Virtual Memory can be implemented via
  – Paging
  – Segmentation
Demand Paging

- Bring a page into memory only when it is needed.
  - Less I/O needed
  - Less Memory needed
  - Faster response
  - More users
- The first reference to a page will trap to OS with a page fault.
- OS looks at another table to decide
  - Invalid reference - abort
  - Just not in memory.

**Page fault:**
1. Find free frame
2. Get page into frame via scheduled disk operation
3. Reset tables to indicate page now in memory
   Set validation bit = v
4. Restart the instruction that caused the page fault
Page Replacement Strategies

• The Principle of Optimality
  – Replace the page that will not be used again the farthest time into the future.

• FIFO - First in First Out
  – Replace the page that has been in memory the longest.

• LRU - Least Recently Used
  – Replace the page that has not been used for the longest time.
  – LRU Approximation Algorithms - reference bit, second-chance etc.

• Working Set
  – Keep in memory those pages that the process is actively using
Least Recently Used (LRU) Algorithm

- Use past knowledge rather than future
- Replace page that has not been used in the most amount of time
- Associate time of last use with each page

reference string

| 7 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 7 | 0 | 1 |

page frames

- 12 faults – better than FIFO but worse than OPT
- Generally good algorithm and frequently used
- Approximate Implementations:
  - Counter implementation time of use field
  - Stack implementation
  - Reference bit
  - Second chance
Allocation of Frames

– Single user case is simple
  – User is allocated any free frame
– Problem: Demand paging + multiprogramming
  • Each process needs minimum number of pages based on instruction set architecture.
  • Two major allocation schemes:
    – Fixed allocation - (1) equal allocation (2) Proportional allocation.
    – Priority allocation - May want to give high priority process more memory than low priority process.
  • Global vs local allocation
Working-Set Model

- $\Delta \equiv \textbf{working-set window} \equiv$ a fixed number of page references
  - Example: 10,000 instructions

  
  \[
  \text{page reference table}
  \]

  \[
  \ldots 2 6 1 5 7 7 7 5 1 6 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 4 4 3 4 4 4 4 1 3 2 3 4 4 4 3 4 4 4 4 \ldots
  \]

  

  
  $\Delta$

  
  $t_1$

  
  $\Delta$

  
  $t_2$

  

  
  $\text{WS}(t_1) = \{1, 2, 5, 6, 7\}$

  

  
  $\text{WS}(t_2) = \{3, 4\}$

  

  $\Delta = 10$

- $WSS_i$ (working set of Process $P_i$) =
  - total number of pages referenced in the most recent $\Delta$ (varies in time)
  - if $\Delta$ too small will not encompass entire locality
  - if $\Delta$ too large will encompass several localities
  - if $\Delta = \infty \Rightarrow$ will encompass entire program

- $D = \Sigma WSS_i \equiv \text{total demand frames}$
  - Approximation of locality

- if $D > m \Rightarrow$ Thrashing

- Policy if $D > m$, then suspend or swap out one of the processes
File-System Implementation

– File System Structure
  • File System resides on secondary storage (disks).
  • To improve I/O efficiency, I/O transfers between memory and disk are performed in blocks. Read/Write/Modify/Access each block on disk.
  • **File System Mounting** - File System must be mounted before it can be available to process on the system. The OS is given the name of the device and the mount point.

– Allocation Methods
– Free-Space Management
– Directory Implementation
– Efficiency and Performance, Recovery
File Systems

• Many file systems, sometimes several within an operating system
  – Each with its own format
    • Windows has FAT (1977), FAT32 (1996), NTFS (1993)
    • Linux has more than 40 types, with extended file system (1992) ext2 (1993), ext3 (2001), ext4 (2008);
    • plus distributed file systems
    • floppy, CD, DVD Blu-ray

  – New ones still arriving –GoogleFS, xFAT, HDFS
1. **Boot control block** contains info needed by system to boot OS from that volume
   - Needed if volume contains OS, usually first block of volume
2. **Volume control block** *(superblock UFS or master file table NTFS)* contains volume details
   - Total # of blocks, # of free blocks, block size, free block pointers or array
3. **Directory structure** organizes the files
   - File Names and inode numbers UFS, master file table NTFS
4. **Per-file File Control Block** *(FCB or “inode”)* contains many details about the file
   - Indexed using inode number; permissions, size, dates UFS
   - master file table using relational DB structures NTFS
4. Per-file **File Control Block (FCB or “inode”)** contains many details about the file

   - Indexed using inode number; permissions, size, dates

```plaintext
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>file permissions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>file dates (create, access, write)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>file owner, group, ACL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>file size</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>file data blocks or pointers to file data blocks</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
```
• An in-memory **mount table** contains information about each mounted volume.
• An in-memory **directory-structure cache** holds the directory information of recently accessed directories.
• The **system-wide open-file table** contains a copy of the FCB of each open file, as well as other information.
• The **per-process open file table** contains a pointer to the appropriate entry in the system-wide open-file table.
• Plus buffers hold data blocks from secondary storage.

Open returns a file handle (file descriptor) for subsequent use.
• Data from read eventually copied to specified user process memory address.
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Memory vs Disk

How slow is magnetic disk relative to the memory?

A. Disk access is 25-50% slower.
B. One disk access is 10 to 100 times longer than a memory access.
C. Modern Disks are faster.
D. Disk is several orders of magnitude slower.
Answer
Memory vs Disk
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A. Disk access is 25-50% slower.
B. One disk access is 10 to 100 times longer than a memory access.
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CS370 Operating Systems
Colorado State University
Yashwant K Malaiya
ICQ
Allocation of Disk Space

• Low level access methods depend upon the disk allocation scheme used to store file data
  – Contiguous Allocation
    • Each file occupies a set of contiguous blocks on the disk. Dynamic storage allocation problem. Files cannot grow.
  – Linked List Allocation
    • Each file is a linked list of disk blocks. Blocks may be scattered anywhere on the disk. Not suited for random access.
    • Variation - FILE ALLOCATION TABLE (FAT) mechanisms
  – Indexed Allocation
    • Brings all pointers together into the index block. Need index table. Can link blocks of indexes to form multilevel indexes.
Combined Scheme: UNIX UFS

4K bytes per block, 32-bit addresses

Volume block: Table with file names Points to this

Inode (file control block)

More index blocks than can be addressed with 32-bit file pointer

Common: 12+3 Indirect block could contain 1024 pointers. Max file size: k.k.k.4k+
Free-Space Management

- File system maintains free-space list to track available blocks/clusters
  - (Using term “block” for simplicity)
- Bit vector or bit map ($n$ blocks)

\[
\begin{array}{cccc}
\text{bit}[i] &=& 1 & \Rightarrow \text{block}[i] \text{ free} \\
&\Rightarrow& 0 & \Rightarrow \text{block}[i] \text{ occupied}
\end{array}
\]

Block number calculation

\[
\text{(number of bits per word)} \times \text{(number of 0-value words)} + \text{offset of first 1 bit}
\]

CPUs have instructions to return offset within word of first “1” bit
Hard Disk Performance

• Average I/O time = average access time + (amount to transfer / transfer rate) + controller overhead

• **Average access time** = average seek time + average latency

• Example: to transfer a 4KB block on a 7200 RPM disk with a 5ms average seek time, 1Gb/sec transfer rate with a .1ms controller overhead.
  
  ▪ average latency = 0.5 x 1/(7200/60) = 0.00417 sec
  ▪ **Transfer time** = 4KB / 1Gb/s = 4x8K/G = 0.031 ms
  ▪ Average I/O time for 4KB block
    
    = 5ms + 4.17ms + transfer time + 0.1ms
    
    = 9.27ms + .031ms = 9.301ms
Disk Scheduling

• Several algorithms to schedule the servicing of disk I/O requests
  – The analysis is true for one or many platters
  – SCAN, C-SCAN, C-LOOK,

• We illustrate scheduling algorithms with a request queue (cylinders 0-199) 98, 183, 37, 122, 14, 124, 65, 67

  Head pointer 53 (head is at cylinder 53)
RAID Techniques

- **Striping** uses multiple disks in parallel by splitting data: higher performance, no redundancy (ex. RAID 0)
- **Mirroring** keeps duplicate of each disk: higher reliability (ex. RAID 1)
- **Block parity:** One Disk hold parity block for other disks. A failed disk can be rebuilt using parity. Wear leveling if interleaved (RAID 5, double parity RAID 6).
- Ideas that did not work: Bit or byte level level striping (RAID 2, 3) Bit level Coding theory (RAID 2), dedicated parity disk (RAID 4).
- Nested Combinations:
  - RAID 01: Mirror RAID 0
  - RAID 10: Multiple RAID 1, striping
  - RAID 50: Multiple RAID 5, striping
  - others

Parity: allows rebuilding of a disk

Not common: RAID 2, 3, 4
Most common: RAID 5
Parity

• Parity block: Block1 xor block2 xor block3 ...

\[
\begin{align*}
10001101 & \quad \text{block1} \\
01101100 & \quad \text{block2} \\
11000110 & \quad \text{block3} \\
\hline
00100111 & \quad \text{parity block (ensures even number of 1s)}
\end{align*}
\]

• Can reconstruct any bad block using all others
Read Errors and RAID recovery

• Example: RAID 5
  – 10 one-TB disks, and 1 fails
  – Read remaining disks to reconstruct missing data

• Probability of an error in reading 9 TB disks =
  \[10^{-15} \times (9 \times 8 \times 10^{12})\]
  = 7.2% Thus recovery probability = 92.8%

• Even better:
  – RAID-6: two redundant disk blocks
  – Can work even in presence of one bad disk
  – Scrubbing: read disk sectors in background to find and fix latent errors
Hadoop: Core components

• Hadoop (originally): MapReduce + HDFS
• For **Big Data** applications.
• **MapReduce**: A programming framework for processing parallelizable problems across huge datasets using a large number of commodity machines.
• **HDFS**: A distributed file system designed to efficiently allocate data across multiple machines, and provide self-healing functions when some of them go down
HDFS Architecture

Name Node: metadata, where blocks are physically located
Data Nodes: hold blocks of files (files are distributed)

HDFS Block size: 64-128 MB
ext4: 4KB
HDFS is on top of a local file system.

http://a4academics.com/images/hadoop/Hadoop-Architecture-Read-Write.jpg
HDFS Fault-tolerance

• Individual node/rack may fail.
  – Disks use error detecting codes to detect corruption.

• **Data Nodes (on slave nodes):**
  – data is replicated. Default is 3 times. Keep a copy far away.
  – Send periodic heartbeat (I’m OK) to Name Nodes. Perhaps once every 10 minutes.
  – Name node creates another copy if no heartbeat.

• **Name Node (on master node) Protection:**
  – Transaction log for file deletes/adds, etc (only metadata recorded).
  – Creation of more replica blocks when necessary after a DataNode failure

• **Standby name node:** namespace backup
  – In the event of a failover, the Standby will ensure that it has read all of the edits from the Journal Nodes and then promotes itself to the Active state
Implementation of VMMs

– **Type 1 hypervisors** - Operating-system-like software built to provide virtualization. Runs on ‘bare metal’.
  - Including VMware ESX, Joyent SmartOS, and Citrix XenServer
– Also includes general-purpose operating systems that provide standard functions as well as VMM functions
  - Including Microsoft Windows Server with HyperV and RedHat Linux with KVM
– **Type 2 hypervisors** - Applications that run on standard operating systems but provide VMM features to guest operating systems
  - Including VMware Workstation and Fusion, Parallels Desktop, and Oracle VirtualBox
Memory Management

Memory mapping:

• On a bare metal machine:
  – VPN -> PPN

• VMM: Real physical memory (*machine memory*) is shared by the OSs. Need to map PPN of each VM to MPN (Shadow page table)
  
  PPN -> MPN

• Where is this done?
  – In Full virtualization?
Live Migration

- Migration from source VMM to target VMM
  - Source establishes a connection with the target
  - Target creates a new guest
  - Source sends all read-only memory pages to target
  - Source starts sending all read-write pages
  - Source VMM freezes guest, sends final stuff,
  - Once target acknowledge
Linux Containers and Docker

- Linux containers (LXC) are “lightweight” VMs
- Comparison between LXC/docker and VM

- Containers provide “OS-level Virtualization” vs “hardware level”.
- Containers can be deployed in seconds.
- Very little overhead during execution, just like Type 1.
Microservices Characteristics

- Many smaller (fine grained), clearly scoped services
  - Single Responsibility Principle
  - Independently Managed
- Clear ownership for each service
  - Typically need/adopt the “DevOps” model
- 100s of MicroServices
  - Need a Service Metadata Registry (Discovery Service)
- May be replicated as needed
- A microservice can be updated without interruption
Cloud Capacity provisioning

User has a variable need for capacity. User can choose among

Fixed resources: Private data center
• Under-provisioning when demand is too high, or
• Provisioning for peak

Variable resources:
• Use more or less depending on demand
• Public Cloud has elastic capacity (i.e. way more than what the user needs)
• User can get exactly the capacity from the Cloud that is actually needed

Why does this work for the provider?
– Varying demand is statistically smoothed out over many users, their peaks may occur at different times
– Prices set low for low overall demand periods
Cloud Instance types/Service/Management models

**Instance types**
- On-Demand instances
- Spot Instances
- Reserved Instances
- Dedicated Hosts

**Service models**
- IaaS: Infrastructure as a Service
- PaaS: Platform as a Service
- SaaS: Software as a Service

**Cloud Management models**
- Public clouds
- Private clouds
- Hybrid clouds:
See you in the final.