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Questions from last time

- Do all pages have a copy on the disk?
- Paging algorithms seem to assume *both temporal and spatial locality*… how true is this?
- How can we get the reference string that is representative of actual operation? Our reference strings are chosen for illustration purposes.
- Optimal Page Replacement: Why can’t future page numbers be predicted?
  - Bringing in a page of information, we are already exploiting spatial locality.
  - LRU assumes some temporal locality.
- Can the stock market be predicted? Jim Simons: 30 years, 66%/y
• Can more than one page loaded into memory when a process starts? prefetching
• Why LRU and OPT not affected by Belady’s anomaly? Stack type. When frames are less they are a subset of pages when frame are more.
• Effective Access Time (EAT)
  \[ EAT = (1 - p) \times \text{memory access time} + p \times \text{(page-fault service time)} \]
Least Recently Used (LRU) Algorithm

- Use past knowledge rather than future
- Replace page that has not been used in the most amount of time (4th access – page 7 is least recently used ..._)
- Associate time of last use with each page

**reference string**

```
7 0 1 2 0 3 0 4 2 3 0 3 2 1 2 0 1 7 0 1
```

**page frames**

```
7 7 7 2 2 4 4 4 0 1 1 1
0 0 0 0 0 3 3 3 3 3 0 0
1 1 1 2 2 2 2 2 7
```

- 12 faults – better than FIFO (15) but worse than OPT (9)
- Generally good algorithm and frequently used
- But how to implement it by tracking the page usage?

Track carefully!
LRU Algorithm: Implementations

Possible implementations

• Counter implementation
  – Every page entry has a counter; every time page is referenced through this entry, copy the clock into the counter
  – When a page needs to be changed, look at the counters to find smallest value
    • Search through table needed

• Stack implementation
  – Keep a stack of page numbers in a double link form:
  – Page referenced:
    • move it to the top
    • requires 6 pointers to be changed
  – Each update expensive
  – No search for replacement needed (bottom is least recently used)

LRU and OPT are cases of stack algorithms that don’t have Belady’s Anomaly
Use Of A Stack to Record Most Recent Page References

reference string

4 7 0 7 1 0 1 2 1 2 7 1 2

Most recently used ->

2
1
0
7
4

7
2
1
0
4

Least recently used ->

stack before a

stack after b

Too slow if done in software

This shows tracking stack, not actual frames.
Use Of A Stack to Record Most Recent Page References

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>4</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Most recently used -&gt;</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Least recently used -&gt;</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Detailed version of previous slide. This shows tracking stack, not actual frames.
Use Of A Stack to Record Most Recent Page References

reference string

| 7 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 7 | 0 | 1 |
| 7 | 7 | 7 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |

page frames

Earlier problem (upper) revisited.
This shows tracking stack, not actual frames.
LRU Approximation Algorithms

- LRU needs special hardware and still slow

**Reference bit**
- With each page associate a bit, initially = 0
- When the page is referenced, bit set to 1
- Replace any page with reference bit = 0 (if one exists)
  - 0 implies not used since initialization
  - We do not know the order, however.
    - pick a non-dirty page first
  - Periodically clear the reference bit.

- Advanced schemes using more bits: preserve more information about the order
LRU approximation
Ref bit: 1 indicates used, Shift register records history

Ex: 3-period history

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ref Bit</th>
<th>Shift Register</th>
<th>Shift Register after OS timer interrupt</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0000 0000</td>
<td>1000 0000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1001 0001</td>
<td>1100 1000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0110 0011</td>
<td>0011 0001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Interpret 8-bit bytes as **unsigned integers**
- Page with the *lowest* number is the LRU page: replace.

Examples:
- 00000000: Not used in last 8 periods
- 01100101: Used 4 times in the last 8 periods
- 11000100 used more recently than 01110111
Second-chance (clock) algorithm

- **Second-chance algorithm (“clock algo”)**
  i. Round robin selection of victim page and
  ii. recently used page gets second chance.

  - **Clock** replacement (using circular queue): hand as a pointer
  - Page referenced: reference bit = 1
  - Page replacement: Consider next page
    - Reference bit = 0 -> replace it
    - Reference bit = 1 then: give it another chance
      - set reference bit 0, leave page in memory
      - consider next page, subject to same rules
Second-Chance (clock) Page-Replacement Algorithm

- **Clock replacement**: hand as a pointer
- **Consider next page**
  - Reference bit = 0 -> replace it
  - Reference bit = 1 then:
    - set reference bit 0, leave page in memory
    - consider next page, subject to same rules

(a) Change to 0, give it another chance
(b) Already 0. Replace page
Enhanced Second-Chance Algorithm

Improve algorithm by using reference bit and modify bit (if available) in concert clean page: better replacement candidate

Take ordered pair (reference, modify)
1. (0, 0) neither recently used not modified – best page to replace
2. (0, 1) not recently used but modified – not quite as good, must write out before replacement
3. (1, 0) recently used but clean – probably will be used again soon
4. (1, 1) recently used and modified – probably will be used again soon and need to write out before replacement

When page replacement called for, use the clock scheme but use the four classes replace page in lowest non-empty class
   – Might need to search circular queue several times
Clever Techniques for enhancing Perf

• Keep a buffer (pool) of free frames, always
  – Then frame available when needed, not found at fault time
  – Read page into free frame and select victim to evict and add to free pool
  – When convenient, evict victim

• Keep list of modified pages
  – When backing store is otherwise idle, write pages there and set to non-dirty (being proactive!)

• Keep free frame previous contents intact and note what is in them
  – If referenced again before reused, no need to load contents again from disk
  – Generally useful to reduce penalty if wrong victim frame selected
• Some applications (like databases) often understand their memory/disk usage better than the OS
  – Provide their own buffering schemes
  – If both the OS and the application were to buffer
    • Twice the I/O is being utilized for a given I/O
  – OS may provide “raw access” disk to special programs without file system services.
Allocation of Frames
How to allocate frames to processes?

- Each process needs *minimum* number of frames
  Depending on specific needs of the process
- *Maximum* of course is total frames in the system

- Two major allocation schemes
  - fixed allocation
  - priority allocation

- Many variations
Fixed Allocation

• **Equal allocation** – For example, if there are 100 frames (after allocating frames for the OS) and 5 processes, give each process 20 frames
  – Keep some as free frame buffer pool

• **Proportional allocation** – Allocate according to the size of process (need based)
  – Dynamic as degree of multiprogramming, process sizes change

\[
s_j = \text{size of process } p_j \\
S = \sum s_j \\
m = \text{total number of frames} \\
a_j = \text{allocation for } p_j = \frac{s_j}{S} \times m
\]

Example: Processes P1, P2

\[
m = 62 \\
s_1 = 10 \\
s_2 = 127 \\
a_1 = \frac{10}{137} \times 62 \approx 4 \\
a_2 = \frac{127}{137} \times 62 \approx 57
\]
Priority Allocation

• Use a proportional allocation scheme using priorities rather than size

• If process $P_i$ generates a page fault,
  – select for replacement one of its frames  or
  – select for replacement a frame from a process with lower priority number
Global vs. Local Allocation

- **Global replacement** – process selects a replacement frame from the set of all frames; one process can take a frame from another
  - But then process execution time can vary greatly
  - But greater throughput, so more common

- **Local replacement** – each process selects from only its own set of allocated frames
  - More consistent per-process performance
  - But possibly underutilized memory
Problem: Thrashing

• If a process does not have “enough” pages, the page-fault rate is very high
  – Page fault to get page
  – Replace existing frame
  – But quickly need replaced frame back
  – This leads to:
    • Low CPU utilization, leading to
    • Operating system thinking that it needs to increase the degree of multiprogramming leading to
    • Another process added to the system

• **Thrashing** ≜ a process is busy swapping pages in and out
Thrashing (Cont.)
Demand Paging and Thrashing

• Why does demand paging work?

   **Locality model**
   – Process migrates from one locality to another
   – Localities may overlap

• Why does thrashing occur in a process?

   size of locality > total memory size allocated
   
   – Limit effects by using local or priority page replacement
Locality In A Memory-Reference Pattern
Working-Set Model

- \( \Delta \equiv \text{working-set window} \equiv \text{a fixed number of page references} \)

Example: \( \Delta = 10 \) page references

- \( \text{WS}(t) = \{ \text{total number of pages referenced in the most recent } \Delta \} \)
  - if \( \Delta \) too small, working set will not encompass entire locality
  - if \( \Delta \) too large, working set will encompass several localities
  - ws is an approximation of locality

- \( WSS_i \) (working set of Process \( P_i \)) =
  - total number of pages referenced in the most recent \( \Delta \) (varies in time)
    - if \( \Delta \) too small, working set will not encompass entire locality
    - if \( \Delta \) too large, working set will encompass several localities
    - ws is an approximation of locality

- \( D = \sum WSS_i \equiv \text{total demand for frames for all processes} \)
  - if \( D > m \) \( \Rightarrow \) Thrashing
  - Policy if \( D > m \), then suspend or swap out one of the processes
Page-Fault Frequency Approach

• More direct approach than WSS
• Establish “acceptable” page-fault frequency (PFF) rate and use local replacement policy
  – If actual rate too low, process loses frame
  – If actual rate too high, process gains frame
Working Sets and Page Fault Rates

- Direct relationship between working set of a process and its page-fault rate
- Working set changes over time
- Peaks and valleys over time

Peaks occur at locality changes: 3 working sets
Memory-Mapped Files

• Memory-mapped file I/O allows file I/O to be treated as routine memory access by mapping a disk block to a page in memory
• File is then in memory instead of disk
• A file is initially read using demand paging
  – A page-sized portion of the file is read from the file system into a physical page
  – Subsequent reads/writes to/from the file are treated as ordinary memory accesses
• Simplifies and speeds file access by driving file I/O through memory rather than read() and write() system calls
• Also allows several processes to map the same file allowing the pages in memory to be shared
• But when does written data make it to disk?
  – Periodically and / or at file close() time
  – For example, when the pager scans for dirty pages
Memory Mapped Files

Disk File uses 6 blocks
Page tables used for mapping
Allocating Kernel Memory

- Treated differently from user memory
- Often allocated from a free-memory pool
  - Kernel requests memory for structures of varying sizes
    - Process descriptors, semaphores, file objects etc.
    - Often much smaller than page size
  - Some kernel memory needs to be contiguous
    - e.g. for device I/O
  - approaches (skipped)
Other Considerations -- Prepaging

• Prepaging

  – To reduce the large number of page faults that occurs at process startup
  – Prepage all or some of the pages a process will need, before they are referenced
  – But if prepaged pages are unused, I/O and memory was wasted
  – Assume \( s \) pages are prepaged and fraction \( \alpha \) of the pages is used

    • Is cost of \( s \cdot \alpha \) saved pages faults > or < than the cost of prepaging \( s \cdot (1- \alpha) \) unnecessary pages?

    • \( \alpha \) near zero \( \Rightarrow \) greater prepaging loses
Other Issues – Page Size

• Sometimes OS designers have a choice
  – Especially if running on custom-built CPU

• Page size selection must take into consideration:
  – Fragmentation
  – Page table size
  – I/O overhead
  – Number of page faults
  – Locality
  – TLB size and effectiveness

• Always power of 2, usually in the range $2^{12}$ (4,096 bytes) to $2^{22}$ (4,194,304 bytes)

• On average, growing over time
Page size issues – TLB Reach

• TLB Reach - The amount of memory accessible from the TLB

• TLB Reach = (TLB Size) X (Page Size)

• Ideally, the working set of each process is stored in the TLB
  – Otherwise there is a high degree of page faults
• Program structure
  – int[128,128] data; i: row, j: column
  – Each row is stored in one page
  – Program 1
    
    ```c
    for (j = 0; j < 128; j++)
      for (i = 0; i < 128; i++)
        data[i,j] = 0;
    ```

    128 x 128 = 16,384 page faults

  – Program 2  inner loop = 1 row = 1 page
    
    ```c
    for (i = 0; i < 128; i++)
      for (j = 0; j < 128; j++)
        data[i,j] = 0;
    ```

    128 page faults
Other Issues – I/O interlock

- **I/O Interlock** – Pages must sometimes be locked into memory
- Consider I/O - Pages that are used for copying a file from a device must be locked from being selected for eviction by a page replacement algorithm
- **Pinning** of pages to lock into memory
MS Windows

- Uses demand paging with **clustering**. Clustering brings in pages surrounding the faulting page.
- Processes are assigned **working set minimum** and **working set maximum**.
- Working set minimum is the minimum number of pages the process is guaranteed to have in memory.
- A process may be assigned as many pages up to its working set maximum.
- When the amount of free memory in the system falls below a threshold, **automatic working set trimming** is performed to restore the amount of free memory.
- Working set trimming removes pages from processes that have pages in excess of their working set minimum.
File-system

Slides based on
• Text by Silberschatz, Galvin, Gagne
• Various sources
Ch 13: File system interface
Ch 14: File system implementation
Ch 15: File system internals
Ch 11: Mass storage
File Systems

"MS. GRIMMETT, I SORT OF LIKED THE OLD FILING SYSTEM...IN THE FILE CABINETS."
Outline

• File Concept, types
• Attributes, Access Methods, operations, Protection
• Directory Structure, namespace, File-System Mounting, File Sharing
• Next in File System Implementation
  – Storage abstraction: File system metadata (size, freelists), File metadata(attributes, disk block maps), datablocks
  – Allocation of blocks to files: contiguous, sequential, linked list allocation, indexed
  – In memory info: Mount table, directory structure cache, open file table, buffers
  – Unix: inodes numbers for directories and files
## File types

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>file type</th>
<th>usual extension</th>
<th>function</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>executable</td>
<td>exe, com, bin or none</td>
<td>ready-to-run machine-language program</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>object</td>
<td>obj, o</td>
<td>compiled, machine language, not linked</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>source code</td>
<td>c, cc, java, pas, asm, a</td>
<td>source code in various languages</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>batch</td>
<td>bat, sh</td>
<td>commands to the command interpreter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>text</td>
<td>txt, doc</td>
<td>textual data, documents</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>word processor</td>
<td>wp, tex, rtf, doc</td>
<td>various word-processor formats</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>library</td>
<td>lib, a, so, dll</td>
<td>libraries of routines for programmers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>print or view</td>
<td>ps, pdf, jpg</td>
<td>ASCII or binary file in a format for printing or viewing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>archive</td>
<td>arc, zip, tar</td>
<td>related files grouped into one file, sometimes compressed, for archiving or storage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>multimedia</td>
<td>mpeg, mov, rm, mp3, avi</td>
<td>binary file containing audio or A/V information</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
File Attributes

- **Name** – only information kept in human-readable form
- **Identifier** – unique tag (number) identifies file within file system
- **Type** – needed for systems that support different types
- **Location** – pointer to file location on device
- **Size** – current file size
- **Protection** – controls who can do reading, writing, executing
- **Time, date, and user identification** – data for protection, security, and usage monitoring
- Information about files are kept in the directory structure, which is maintained on the disk
- Many variations, including extended file attributes such as file checksum

![File Attributes Image](image)
Disk Structure

- Disk can be subdivided into **partitions**
- Disks or partitions can be **RAID** protected against failure
- Partition can be **formatted** with a file system
- Entity containing file system known as a **volume**
- Each volume containing file system also tracks that file system’s info in **device directory** or **volume table of contents**
- As well as **general-purpose file systems** there are many **special-purpose file systems**, frequently all within the same operating system or computer
Directory Structure

- A collection of nodes containing information about all files

Both the directory structure and the files reside on disk
Operations Performed on Directory

- Traverse the file system
- List a directory
- Search for a file
- Create/Delete/Rename a file
The directory is organized logically to obtain

- **Efficiency** – locating a file quickly
- **Naming** – convenient to users
  - Two users can have same name for different files
  - The same file can have several different names
- **Grouping** – logical grouping of files by properties, (e.g., all Java programs, all games, ...)