

Lossless-join Decomposition

If R is a relation schema and F is a set of FDs over R , a decomposition of R into 2 schemas with attribute sets X & Y is said to be lossless-join if for every instance r of R that satisfies the dependencies in F , a natural join of X & Y will produce r .

Let's go back to our schema from our initial example:

Student (StudentID, Name, Major code, Major name). We saw in the original example the problems with the redundancy caused by this schema. What if we decompose this into the following schemas:

Student (StudentID, Name, Major code)
Major (Major code, Major name)

This decomposition is considered a lossless-join decomposition because a natural join between Student and Major will result in the original schema.

Dependency -preserving

A decomposition is considered to be dependency preserving if after the decomposition, the dependencies can still be enforced. Let's look at our contracts example:

$C \rightarrow CSJDPQV$, $JP \rightarrow C$, $SD \rightarrow P$.

If we decompose the original schema into:

(CSJDQV) and (SDP) We still have a lossless-join decomposition, because a natural join between the two will return the original – however this decomposition has lost the ability to track $JP \rightarrow C$. J ended in the first and P in the second.

Any relation can be decomposed into a 3NF form and both be lossless-join and dependency preserving, however the same cannot be said for BCNF. Given the following scenario:

$R = (A,B,C)$, $F = (AB \rightarrow C, C \rightarrow A)$

R is not in BCNF, and you cannot decompose R into BCNF form and preserve the dependencies; however it is in 3NF.