Stereo

CS 510 May 8th, 2013



Where are we?

- We are done! (essentially)
- We covered image matching
 - Correlation & Correlation Filters
 - Fourier Analysis
 - PCA
- We covered feature-based matching
 - Bag of Features approach
 - Constellation approach
 - Including:
 - Feature Extraction
 - Feature Description
 - Classification
- Not a bad introduction to vision



But we skipped a few topics...

- Like 3D vision
 - 3D sensors (e.g. Kinect)
 - Stereo (i.e. multiple overlapping cameras)
 - Structure from Motion
- Like Video
 - Object tracking
 - Motion segmentation
 - Activity recognition



Let's fix one omission: Stereo

• The ability to infer 3D structure and distance from two or more overlapping images taken simultaneously from different viewpoints





Are these stereo images? Describe the viewpoints



Scenarios

• Most common: perpendicular optical axes

• Also common: converging optical axes (e.g. eyes)



Colorado State University

• More common than you might think: arbitrary axes

Two SubProblems:

- Image Matching (correspondence)
 - identifying which points in image #1 match which points in image #2
 - note: not all points in image #1 match anything in image #2. Why not?
 - Note: not all matching points can be found.
- Reconstruction
 - Given point matches, determine their 3D position
 - Requires triangulation (implicit or explicit)



Image Matching

- Find common scene points in two images
 - Occlusion
 - Incomplete overlap of visual fields
 - Potentially strong perspective effects
- General Methods:
 - Correlation based
 - Cross-correlate every pixel in left image to right image
 - Epipolar geometry can constrain this search...
 - Feature based
 - Extract points, edges, lines, etc., and match them across image



Reconstruction as Triangulation

• Assume that the positions and baselines of the cameras are known:



$$P = t_l [x_l, y_l, f_l]$$
$$P = [b_x, b_y, b_z] + t_r [x_r, y_r, f_r]$$

Solve for t's, compute coordinate of point. *Q: Isn't this overconstrained?*



Epipolar Geometry

• For any point in image #1, there is a line of points in image #2 such that its match (if one exists) must lie on that line.



- There is a plane defined by the two focal points and the 2D point in image #1. The 3D point must lie in this plane.
- Also, the matching point in image #2 must lie in this plane.



Epipolar (cont.)

- Since the intersection of two planes is a line, there is a line in image #2 on which the matching point must lie. This is called the *epipolar line*.
- If you know the vrp and prp of both cameras, you can compute the epipolar line for any point in image #1.
 - If axes are parallel and $B_z=0$, then the epipolar lines are scan lines.
- The Essential Matrix (E) allows you to compute epipolar geometry without knowing the camera parameters *a priori*



Getting Formal about Stereo

Do not panic about the next N slides; my goal is just to expose you to terms & concepts in case you go to a vision conference...



-

 $P_r = R(P_l - T)$ 1:Relation between 3D views of point P $T \times P_l$ 2:Normal to epipolar plane

$$(P_l - T)^T \cdot (T \times P_l) = 0 \qquad 3: \text{Planarity constraint}$$
$$(R^T P_r)^T \cdot (T \times P_l) = 0 \qquad 4: \text{Rewrite of #3, using #1}$$



You can rewrite a cross product as dot product, so

$$T \times P_l = SP_l$$

where

$$S = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -T_{z} & T_{y} \\ T_{z} & 0 & -T_{x} \\ -T_{y} & T_{x} & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$



More Equations

$$\left(R^{T}P_{r}\right)^{T}SP_{l} = 0$$
 5: Substitute dot for cross in #4

 $P_R^T RSP_l = 0$ 6: Apply transpose equivalency

$$P_R^T E P_l = 0$$
 7: Let RS = E

E is called the Essential Matrix. It is rank 2 (because of S), and shows a linear relationship between the projections of points in two images



Or in 2D....

$$p_l = \frac{f_l}{Z_l} P_l$$

$$P_l = \frac{Z_l}{f_l} p_l$$

8: Definition of perspective

9: same

$$\left(\frac{Z_r}{f_r}p_r\right)_R^T E\left(\frac{Z_l}{f_l}p_l\right) = 0$$
$$p_R^T Ep_l = 0$$

4

10: rewrite of #7, with #8

11: drop non-zero constants



Back to Epipolar...

- So E is a linear relation between p_1 and p_r
- $u_r = Ep_l$, where u_r is the line of points in R that might match point p_l
- If you know E
 - For every image point p_l:
 - calculate the line u_r
 - only cross-correlate along that line
- E can be calculated from 8 image correspondences
 - Why 8? (How many DOF? How many constraints per correspondence?)



Stereo Practicum

- The larger the baseline, the more the perspective distortion
 - The harder it is to match points
- The smaller the baseline, the smaller the angle between P_1 and P_r , the higher the reconstruction error.
 - Errors always highest in Z...

