### Approximation vs Generalization

### LFD Sections 2.3, 4.1



### Assignment 2 FAQ

Does an update of the alphas/weight vector of the adatron occur regardless of whether an example is misclassified?

\* Yes!

That brings up another question: when do we stop?

 After a fixed number of iterations (use the same bound you use for the perceptron).

The alpha coefficients of the adatron explode. What should I do?

Put an upper bound on the magnitude of the alphas

What's a good value for the learning rate?

That requires some experimentation.

The adatron takes a long time to run

 The instructor suggests a speedup where the weight vector is not computed from scratch after each update.

Consider a simple learning problem: two data points and two hypothesis sets.



Section 2.3

### Repeating many times...



For each data set  $\mathcal{D}$ , you get a different  $g^{\mathcal{D}}$ .

So, for a fixed  $\mathbf{x}$ ,  $g^{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{x})$  is random value, depending on  $\mathcal{D}$ .

Let's consider an out-of-sample error based on a squared error measure:

$$E_{\text{out}}(g^{(\mathcal{D})}) = \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{x}}\left[\left(g^{(\mathcal{D})}(\mathbf{x}) - f(\mathbf{x})\right)^2\right]$$

To abstract away the dependence on a given dataset:

$$\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{D}} \left[ E_{\text{out}}(g^{(\mathcal{D})}) \right] = \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{D}} \left[ \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{x}} \left[ \left( g^{(\mathcal{D})}(\mathbf{x}) - f(\mathbf{x}) \right)^2 \right] \right] \\ = \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{x}} \left[ \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{D}} \left[ \left( g^{(\mathcal{D})}(\mathbf{x}) - f(\mathbf{x}) \right)^2 \right] \right]$$

And let's focus on

$$\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{D}}\left[\left(g^{(\mathcal{D})}(\mathbf{x}) - f(\mathbf{x})\right)^2\right]$$

To evaluate 
$$\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{D}}\left[\left(g^{(\mathcal{D})}(\mathbf{x}) - f(\mathbf{x})\right)^{2}\right]$$
We consider the "average hypothesis"  $\bar{g}(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{D}}\left[g^{(\mathcal{D})}(\mathbf{x})\right]$ 

$$\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{D}}\left[\left(g^{(\mathcal{D})}(\mathbf{x}) - f(\mathbf{x})\right)^{2}\right] = \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{D}}\left[\left(g^{(\mathcal{D})}(\mathbf{x}) - \bar{g}(\mathbf{x}) + \bar{g}(\mathbf{x}) - f(\mathbf{x})\right)^{2}\right]$$

$$= \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{D}}\left[\left(g^{(\mathcal{D})}(\mathbf{x}) - \bar{g}(\mathbf{x})\right)^{2} + \left(\bar{g}(\mathbf{x}) - f(\mathbf{x})\right)^{2} + 2\left(g^{(\mathcal{D})}(\mathbf{x}) - \bar{g}(\mathbf{x})\right)\left(\bar{g}(\mathbf{x}) - f(\mathbf{x})\right)\right]$$

$$= \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{D}}\left[\left(g^{(\mathcal{D})}(\mathbf{x}) - \bar{g}(\mathbf{x})\right)^{2} + \left(\bar{g}(\mathbf{x}) - f(\mathbf{x})\right)^{2}\right]$$

$$\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{D}}\left[\left(g^{(\mathcal{D})}(\mathbf{x}) - f(\mathbf{x})\right)^{2}\right] = \underbrace{\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{D}}\left[\left(g^{(\mathcal{D})}(\mathbf{x}) - \bar{g}(\mathbf{x})\right)^{2}\right]}_{\operatorname{var}(\mathbf{x})} + \underbrace{\left(\bar{g}(\mathbf{x}) - f(\mathbf{x})\right)^{2}}_{\operatorname{bias}(\mathbf{x})}$$

#### Finally, we get:

$$\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{D}} \left[ E_{\text{out}}(g^{(\mathcal{D})}) \right] = \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{x}} \left[ \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{D}} \left[ \left( g^{(\mathcal{D})}(\mathbf{x}) - f(\mathbf{x}) \right)^2 \right] \right]$$
$$= \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{x}} [\text{bias}(\mathbf{x}) + \text{var}(\mathbf{x})]$$
$$= \text{bias} + \text{var}$$

### The tradeoff between bias and variance

bias = 
$$\mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{x}} \left[ \left( \bar{g}(\mathbf{x}) - f(\mathbf{x}) \right)^2 \right]$$
 var =  $\mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{x}} \left[ \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{D}} \left[ \left( g^{(\mathcal{D})}(\mathbf{x}) - \bar{g}(\mathbf{x}) \right)^2 \right] \right]$   
 $\mathcal{H} \longrightarrow bias$ 



In learning there is a tradeoff:

- How well can learning approximate the target function
- How close can we get to that approximation with a finite dataset.

# Match model complexity to the amount of data not the complexity of the target function

#### two data points

five data points



### Two views of out-of-sample error



The choice of hypothesis needs to strike a balance between approximating f on the training data and generalizing on new data.

Pick a hypothesis that can fit the data (low bias) and not behave wildly (low variance)

Assume a quadratic target function and a sample of 5 noisy data points:



Chapter 4

#### Let's fit this data with a degree 4 polynomial:



Let's fit this data with a degree 4 polynomial:



Overfitting: fitting the data more than is warranted.

 $E_{in}$  is small, and yet  $E_{out}$  is large

#### Let's fit this data with a degree 4 polynomial:



#### Observations:

- $\checkmark$  We are overfitting the data:  $E_{in} = 0$ ,  $E_{out}$  large
- The noise did us in!



Model complexity

Overfitting: fitting the data more than is warranted. In other words – using a model that is more complex than is necessary.

Let's look at another example:



Let's compare fitting the data with 2<sup>nd</sup> degree and 10<sup>th</sup> degree polynomials:



Although the data is generated with a 10<sup>th</sup> degree polynomial, the quadratic fit is better!

### Which hypothesis?

## The choice of hypothesis space depends on the number of available data points:



- High complexity hypothesis set: better chance of approximating the target function
- Low complexity hypothesis set: better chance of getting low out-of-sample error

### Factors that lead to overfitting

- Small number of data points
- Amount of noise
- Complexity of the target function
- \* Complexity of the hypothesis set

### Regularization

#### The cure for overfitting - regularization



Without regularization

With regularization