CS 555: Distributed Systems
[P2P Systems: Gnutella & BitTorrent]

Shrideep Pallickara
Computer Science
Colorado State University

Frequently asked questions from the previous class survey

Topics covered in this lecture

- Unstructured P2P Systems
- Strategies for effective search in unstructured P2P systems
- Gnutella
- BitTorrent

UNSTRUCTURED P2P SYSTEMS

Structured P2P systems [Summary]

- Overall **global policy** governing
  - Topology of the network
  - Placements of objects
  - Routing functions to locate objects
- There is a specific **distributed data structure** that underpins
  - Associated Overlay
  - Algorithms that operate on it to route messages

Structured P2P systems [Summary]

- Because of the structure, algorithms are
  - Efficient
  - Offer *time-bounds* on object location
- BUT involve **costly maintenance** of underlying structures
  - In highly dynamic environments

Unstructured P2P systems [1/2]

- Target the maintenance argument
- No overall control on
  - Topology
  - Placements of objects within the network
- Overlay is created in an ***ad hoc*** manner
  - Each node joins network by following simple, local rules to establish connectivity

Unstructured P2P systems [2/2]

- A new joining node will establish contact with a set of *neighbor* nodes
  - These neighbors will be connected to further neighbors, etc.
- The network is fundamentally **decentralized** and self-organizing
  - Resilient to failures

Locating objects in unstructured P2P systems

- Requires a search of the resultant network topology
- No guarantees of being able to find the object
  - Performance will also be unpredictable
  - There is a risk of generating excessive message traffic to locate objects

Pros and Cons

	Structured P2P	**Unstructured P2P systems**
Advantages	Guaranteed to locate objects with bounds on this operation. Low message overhead	Self-organizing and naturally resilient to failures
Disadvantages	Maintain complex overlay structures that are difficult and costly in dynamic settings	Probabilistic Cannot offer absolute guarantees on locating objects

STRATEGIES FOR EFFECTIVE SEARCH IN UNSTRUCTURED P2P SETTINGS

Sharing in unstructured P2P networks

- All nodes in the network offer files to the greater environment
- Problem of locating a file?
  - Maps onto a *search of the whole network*
- CAVEAT:
  - If implemented naively, could result in **flooding** the network with requests

Refinements for search in unstructured P2P systems

- Expanded ring search
- Random walks
- Gossiping
- Replication

Refinements for search in unstructured P2P systems: Expanded Ring Search

- Initiating node carries out a series of searches with *increasing values* in the TTL field
- A significant number of searches will likely be satisfied locally (proximate peers)
  - Expand the scope of search only if requests fail in the neighborhood

Refinements for search in unstructured P2P systems: Random Walks

- Initiating node sets of a number of **walkers**
- Walkers follow *random pathways* through the interconnected graph
  - Over the unstructured network

Refinements for search in unstructured P2P systems: Gossiping

- Node sends request to a neighbor with a certain probability
- Requests propagate through the network in a manner that is similar to **viral propagations**
  - Such gossip protocols are also referred to as *epidemic protocols*

Refinements for search in unstructured P2P systems: Gossiping

- Probabilities may either be
  - **Fixed** for a given network
  - Computed **dynamically** based on:
    - Past experience
    - Current context

Refinements for search in unstructured P2P systems: Replication

- **Replicate** content across a number of peers
- *Probability* of efficient discovery for particular files is enhanced
- Replications can be for
  - The entire file
  - Fragments thereof

GNUTELLA

Gnutella

- Launched in 2000
- One of the most dominant and influential peer-to-peer file sharing applications

Gnutella: Early Versions (0.4)

- Every node forwarded a request to **each** of its neighbors
- Neighbors, in turn, passed this on to their neighbors
  - Until a match was found
- This is **flooding**

Gnutella: Early Versions (0.4)

- Search was **constrained** with a *time-to-live* (TTL) field limiting the number of hops
- At the time of Version 0.4, average peer connectivity was 5 neighbors per-node

GNUTELLA VERSION 0.6 AND LATER

Addressing deficiencies in scaling: Hybrid Architecture [1/2]

- Move away from classic P2P where all nodes are equal
- Some nodes are elected as **ultrapeers**
  - Form the heart of the network
- Other nodes take on the role of **leaf nodes**
- Peers still cooperate to offer service

Addressing deficiencies in scaling: Hybrid Architecture [2/2]

- Leaves connect to a small number of **ultrapeers**
- Ultrapeers are *densely connected* to other ultrapeers
- Effect?
  - Dramatically *reduces* the maximum number of hops for exhaustive search

Query Routing Protocol [1/2]

- Designed to **reduce** the number of queries issued by nodes
- **Exchange information** about files contained on nodes
- **Forward queries** down paths where the system thinks there will be a positive outcome

Query Routing Protocol [2/2]

- Does not share information about files directly
- Protocol produces **set of numbers**
  - By *hashing on individual words* in a file-name
  - For e.g., "`Gone with the wind`" will be represented as <36, 789, 452, 132>
- Each node produces a **Query Routing Table**
  - Contains hash values representing *each of the files* contained on that node
  - Sends it to all its associated ultrapeers

Query Routing Protocol: Ultrapeers

- Ultrapeers produce their own Query Routing Table
  - **Union** of all entries *from all connected leaves*; together with entries for files at that ultrapeer
- The ultrapeer then **exchanges** its Query Routing Table with other ultrapeers

Implications of exchanging the Query Routing Table

- Ultrapeers can determine which paths offer a **valid route** for a given query
  - Significantly reduces amount of unnecessary traffic
- Ultrapeer **forwards** a query to a node *only if a match is found*
  - Match indicates that the node has the file
  - Same check performed before forwarding query to another ultrapeer

Avoid overloading the ultrapeers

- Nodes send query to *one* ultrapeer at a time
  - Wait for a specified time period
- ***Avoid reverse traversal*** of messages through the graph
  - Queries in Gnutella contain network address of the initiating ultrapeer
  - File sent directly (using UDP) to that ultrapeer

BITTORRENT

Bit Torrent: Traffic statistics

- In November 2004
  - Responsible for 25% of all Internet traffic
- February 2013
  - 3.35% of all worldwide bandwidth
  - > 50% of the 6% total bandwidth dedicated to file sharing

BitTorrent

- Designed for downloading **large files**
- Not intended for real-time routing of content
- Relies on capabilities of ordinary user machines

Bit Torrent: Key concepts

- Instead of downloading a file from a single source server
  - Users join a **swarm** of hosts to upload-to/download-from *simultaneously*
- Several basic commodity computers can replace large, customized servers
  - Without compromising on efficiency
  - In fact, lower bandwidth usage with swarms *prevents* large internet traffic spikes

Segmented file transfer [1/2]

- File being transferred is divided into fixed-size segments called **chunks** (or pieces)
  - Chunks are of the same size throughout a single download (10MB file: 10 1MB chunks or 40 256KB chunks)
- Chunks are downloaded non-sequentially and rearranged into the correct order by BitTorrent

Segmented file transfer [2/2]

- Advantages:
  - File transfers can be stopped at any time and *resumed*
    - Without loss of previously downloaded content
  - Clients seek out readily available chunks, rather than waiting for an unavailable (next in sequence) chunk

BitTorrent: Protocol summary

- Splits files into fixed-sized **chunks**
- Chunks are then made available at various peers across the P2P network
- Clients can *download* a number of chunks **in parallel** from different sites
  - Reduces the burden on a particular peer to service the entire download

The BitTorrent protocol

- When a file is made available in BitTorrent, a `.torrent` file is created
  - Holds **metadata** associated that file
- Metadata
  - The name and length of the file
  - Location of a **tracker** (URL)
    - Centralized server that manages download for that file
  - Checksum
    - Associated with each chunk
    - Generated using the SHA-1 algorithm

Advantages of hashing chunks

- Each chunk has a cryptographic hash in the torrent descriptor
- Modifications of chunks can be reliably detected
  - Prevents accidental and malicious modifications
- If a node starts with an authentic/legitimate torrent descriptor?
  - It can verify the authenticity of the entire file that it receives

The swarm or torrent for a particular file includes

- Tracker
- Seeders
- Leechers

Trackers

- The use of trackers, compromises a core P2P principle
  - But *simplifies* the system
- Trackers are responsible for **tracking the download status** for a particular file

The roles of participants in BitTorrent: Seeder

- Peer with a complete version of a file (i.e. with all its chunks) is known as a **seeder**
- Peer that initially creates the file, provides the initial seed for file distribution

The roles of participants in BitTorrent: Leechers

- Peers that want to download a file are known as **leechers**
  - A given leecher, at any given time, contains a number of chunks for that file
- Once a leecher downloads all chunks for a file, it can become a seeder for subsequent downloads
  - Files **spread virally** based on demand

When a peers wants to download a file

- Contacts the tracker
- Is given a **partial view** of the torrent
  - The set of peers that can support the download
  - The tracker does not participate in scheduling the downloads
    - Decentralized
- Chunks are requested and transmitted in **any order**

Incentive mechanism: Tit-for-tat

- Gives downloading *preference* to peers who have previously uploaded to the site
  - Encourages concurrent uploads/downloads to make better use of bandwidth
- A peer supports downloads from n simultaneous peers by **unchoking** these peers
  - Decisions based on rolling calculations of download rates

Scheduling downloads

- **Rarest first** scheduling policy
- Peer prioritizes chunk that is *rarest* among its set of connected peers
- Ensures that chunks that are not widely available, spread rapidly

How BitTorrent differs from a classic download

	BitTorrent	Classic download
Connections	Many small data requests over different IP connections to different machines	One TCP connection to one machine
Download Order	Random or "rarest first" to ensure high-availability	Sequential

** Allows BitTorrent to achieve lower cost, higher redundancy, and resistance to abuse

BitTorrent: Advantages

- Advantages
  - Lower costs, greater redundancy, higher resistance to abuse or "flash crowds"
- Shortcomings
  - Non-contiguous download precludes progressive download
  - No streaming playback
    - Beta BitTorrent Streaming protocol available for testing in 2013

BitTorrent: Shortcomings

- Downloads can take time to rise to full speed
  - May take time to enough peer connections to be established
  - Takes time for a node to receive data to become an effective uploader
- Regular (non-BitTorrent/traditional) downloads on the other hand:
  - Rise to full speed very quickly and maintain this speed throughout

But how do you find a torrent?

- Browsing the web or by some other means
  - Open it with a BitTorrent client
- Client connects to trackers in the torrent file and finds peers
  - If swarm contains only the initial seeder, client connects directly to it and begins to request pieces

Support for trackerless Torrents

- Azureus (now Vuze) supported this first
- Mainline BitTorrent provides a DHT based implementation
  - Mainline DHT
  - Kademlia-based Distributed Hash Table (DHT) used by BitTorrent clients

The contents of this slide-set are based on the following references

- *Distributed Systems: Concepts and Design. George Coulouris, Jean Dollimore, Tim Kindberg, Gordon Blair. 5th Edition. Addison Wesley. ISBN: 978-0132143011.* [Chapter 10]
- *Distributed Systems: Principles and Paradigms. Andrew S. Tanenbaum and Maarten Van der Steen. 2nd Edition. Prentice Hall. ISBN: 0132392275/978-0132392273.* [Chapter 5]