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What problem did the paper address?

Big picture problem
- How can we make C++ programs execute faster using hardware technology and compiler optimizations?

Why problem is hard
- C++ is a new language (1995) and perceived as different than previous languages
- Computer architecture and optimizing compiler design has been driven by the behavior in C benchmarks

Specific Problem
- How do C++ programs behave in comparison to C programs?

Why should we care?

In 1995 C++ was becoming the standard programming language in industry

Similar program behavior studies in C and Fortran
- guide computer architecture design
- guide optimizing compiler design directly and indirectly through computer arch design

No other study had compared the behavior between to closely related languages

Benchmark selection
- C programs from SPEC benchmarks, which are still used extensively in the computer architecture community: gcc, tex, etc.
- C++ programs are academia and industry applications with many users
What is the approach used to solve this problem?

**Empirical study of the behavior of C and C++ programs**

- hypothesis: “...C++ programs behave quite differently from C programs and that these differences may have a significant impact on performance”
- select C and C++ benchmarks
  - that many people use
  - select some C++ benchmarks that have similar goals to C benchmarks
- collect static and dynamic statistics about the program using the ATOM tool, execute programs on DEC Alpha architecture
- also use cache simulation based on dynamic memory reference stats

**Static statistics**

- # of instructions, # of functions, #instrs/function, etc.

**Dynamic statistics**

- # of instructions, # func calls, # of indirect func calls, instrs per call, branching behavior, memory reference behavior, etc.

How does the paper support the conclusions it reaches?

**Some of their conclusions (most based directly on empirical results)**

- DHRYSTONE benchmark does not capture the behavior of C or C++ programs
- C++ programs have “shorter procedures that are often reached via indirect function calls”, therefore need procedure inlining
- C++ programs need different branch prediction architectures
- C++ programs may have ILP problems
- C++ program performance improves with a customized memory allocator
  - actually did a study to show this
- link-time optimizations will be important
**Future Research Questions**

How do specific optimizations and reasonable optimization combinations affect performance differently in C and C++ programs?

- constant propagation could help virtual method resolution
- how many loops are parallelizable?

What affect does alias/pointer analysis precision have on the program optimizations that are possible and their affect on performance?

How much ILP is available in C++ programs? Similar to the Wall study.

Is there a relationship between conditional branch directions and indirect function call targets?

---

**Critique**

**Hypothesis**

- have one, which is great
- the hypothesis is weak and the experiments can only validate the first part

“Truth in advertising”

- points out in multiple places that the results rely heavily on the set of benchmarks
- which compiler you use and which version of the operating system are important

**Empirical results**

- in each section they describe why the measurements they are taking are important

**Benchmarks**

- DHRYSTONE benchmarks were meant to model “average system behavior”, they don’t tell us what programs Weicker used to derive this
- They say that input doesn’t have an effect. That is definitely not the case for performance in irregular applications, but they are studying #function calls, etc.
Relation to CS653

Shows how to define a program performance problem
– carefully select a set of benchmarks
– collect statistics and calculate metrics about program behavior
– determine how these statistics and metrics affect execution time
  – they used previous knowledge about this

The next step
– develop program optimizations (including the analyses that support them)
to change those statistics and metrics in a way that improves execution time

Related possible project
– profiling benchmarks with Tau
– redo the profiling after an optimizing compiler has “optimized” the benchmarks

Next Time

Reading
– Articles about program safety

Class
– Discussion of articles

Due
– Paper review for articles (if that is the review you are doing next week)