CS 370: Operating Systems
[Process Synchronization]

Computer Science
Colorado State University

Instructor: Louis-Noel Pouchet
Spring 2026

** Lecture slides created by: Shrideep Pallickara

Topics covered in the lecture

- Synchronization hardware
- Using `TestAndSet` to satisfy critical section requirements
- Semaphores
- Classical process synchronization problems

Synchronization Hardware

Solving the critical section problem using locks

```
do {

        acquire lock

        critical section

        release lock

        remainder section

} while (TRUE);
```

Possible assists for solving critical section problem (1/2)

- Uniprocessor environment
  - **Prevent interrupts** from occurring when shared variable is being modified
    - *No unexpected modifications*!
- Multiprocessor environment
  - Disabling interrupts is *time consuming*
    - Message passed to ALL processors

Possible assists for solving critical section problem (2/2)

- Special **atomic** hardware instructions
  - Swap content of two words
  - Modify word

Swap()

```
void Swap(boolean *a, boolean *b ) {

      boolean temp = *a;
      *a = *b;
      *b = temp;
}
```

Swap: Shared variable LOCK is initialized to false

```
do {
        key = TRUE;
        while (key == TRUE) {
            Swap(&lock, &key)
        }

        critical section

        lock = FALSE;

        remainder section

} while (TRUE);
```

Cannot enter critical section UNLESS `lock == FALSE`

`lock` is a SHARED variable
`key` is a LOCAL variable

Note: If two `Swap()` are executed *simultaneously*, they will be executed *sequentially* in some arbitrary order

TestAndSet()

```
boolean TestAndSet(boolean *target ) {

      boolean rv = *target;
      *target = TRUE;
      return rv;
}
```

Sets `target` to `true` and returns old value of `target`

TestAndSet: Shared boolean variable lock initialized to false

```
do {

        while (TestAndSet(&lock)) {;}

        critical section

        lock = FALSE;

        remainder section

} while (TRUE);
```

To break out:
Return value of TestAndSet should be FALSE

If two TestAndSet() are executed *simultaneously*, they will be executed *sequentially* in some arbitrary order

Entering and leaving critical regions using TestAndSet and Swap (Exchange)

```
enter_region:
    TSL REGISTER, LOCK
    CMP REGISTER, #0
    JNE enter_region
    RET

leave_region:
   MOVE LOCK, #0
   RET
```

```
enter_region:
    MOVE REGISTER, #1
    XCHNG REGISTER,LOCK
    CMP REGISTER, #0
    JNE enter_region
    RET

leave_region:
    MOVE LOCK, #0
    RET
```

All Intel x86 CPUs have the `XCHG` instruction for low-level synchronization

Using Test-and-Set to Satisfy Critical Section Requirements

Using `TestAndSet` to satisfy all critical section requirements

- N processes

- Data structures initialized to FALSE
  - `boolean waiting[n];`
  - `boolean lock;`

These data structures are maintained in shared memory.

The entry section for process i

```
waiting[i] = TRUE;
key = TRUE;

while (waiting[i] && key) {
   key = TestAndSet(&lock);
}

waiting[i] = FALSE;
```

First process to execute `TestAndSet` will find `key == false;`

ENTER critical section

EVERYONE else must wait

The exit section: Part I
Finding a suitable waiting process

If a process is not waiting move to the next one

```
j = (i + 1)%n;

while ( (j != i ) && !waiting[j] ) {
    j = (j+1)%n
}
```

Will break out at `j==i` if there are no waiting processes

If a process is waiting:
break out of loop

The exit section: Part II
Finding a suitable waiting process

Could NOT find a suitable waiting process

```
if (j==i) {
    lock = FALSE;
} else {
    waiting[j] = FALSE;
}
```

Found a suitable waiting process

Mutual exclusion

- The variable `waiting[i]` can become `false` ONLY if another process leaves its critical section
  - **Only one** `waiting[i]` is set to `FALSE`

Progress

- A process exiting the critical section
  1. Sets `lock` to `FALSE`

     OR

  2. `waiting[j]` to `FALSE`

- Allows a process that is *waiting* to proceed

Bounded waiting requirement

```
j = (i + 1)%n;

while ( (j != i ) && !waiting[j] ) {
   j = (j+1)%n
}
```

- **Scans** `waiting[]` in the ***cyclic*** ordering

  `(i+1, i+2, …n, 0, …, i-1)`

- ANY waiting process trying to enter critical section will do so in **(n-1)** turns

SEMAPHORES

Semaphores

- Semaphore **S** is an integer variable

- Once *initialized*, accessed through **atomic** operations
  - `wait()`
  - `signal()`

Modifications to the integer value of semaphore execute indivisibly

```
wait(S) {
    while (S<=0) {
      ; //no operation
    }
    S--;
}
```

```
signal(S) {
   S++;
}
```

Types of semaphores

- Binary semaphores
  - The value of **S** can be 0 or 1
    - Also known as **mutex locks**
- Counting semaphores
  - Value of **S** can range over an *unrestricted* domain

Using the Binary semaphore to deal with the critical section problem

mutex is initialized to 1

```
do {

        wait(mutex);

        critical section

        signal(mutex);

        remainder section

} while (TRUE);
```

Suppose we require S2 to execute only after S1 has executed

Semaphore **synch** is initialized to **0**



PROCESS **P1**

PROCESS **P2**

The counting semaphore

- Controls access to a **finite** set of resource instances
- INITIALIZED to the number of resources available
- Resource Usage
  - `wait()`: To block until a resource is *assigned*
  - `signal()`: To *set a value* informing availability of a resource, changing state for waiting processes
- When all resources are being used: **S**==0
  - Block until **S** > 0 to use the resource

Problems with the basic semaphore implementation

- **{C1}** If there is a process in the critical section
- **{C2}** If another process tries to enter its critical section
  - Must loop continuously in entry code
  - **Busy waiting!**
    - Some other process could have used this more productively!
  - Sometimes these locks are called **spinlocks**
    - One advantage: No context switch needed when process must wait on a lock

Overcoming the need to busy wait

- During `wait` if **S==0**
  - Instead of *busy waiting*, the process **block**s itself
  - Place process in waiting queue for **S**
  - Process state switched to **waiting**
  - CPU scheduler picks *another* process to execute
- **Restart** process when another process does `signal`
  - Restarted using `wakeup()`
  - Changes process state from *waiting* to **ready**

Defining the semaphore

```
typedef struct {
    int value;
    struct process
*sleeping_list;
} semaphore;
```



The `wait()` operation to eliminate busy waiting

```
wait(semaphore *S){
    S->value--;

    if (S->value <0) {
      add process to S->sleeping_list;
      block();
    }

}
```

If value < 0
abs(value) is the number of waiting processes

`block()` suspends the process that invokes it

The `signal()` operation to eliminate busy waiting

```
signal(semaphore *S) {
    S->value++;

    if (S->value > 0) {
       remove a process P from S->sleeping_list;
       wakeup(P);
    }

}
```

`wakeup(P)` resumes the execution of process `P`

Deadlocks and Starvation: Implementation of semaphore with a waiting queue

PROCESS P0

```
wait(S);
wait(Q);

signal(S);
signal(Q);
```

PROCESS P1

```
wait(Q);
wait(S);

signal(Q);
signal(S);
```

Say: P0 executes `wait(S)` and *then* **P1** executes `wait(Q)`

P0 must wait till **P1** executes `signal(Q)`
P1 must wait till **P0** executes `signal(S)`

Cannot be executed so deadlock

Semaphores and atomic operations

- Once a semaphore action has started
  - **No other process** can access the semaphore UNTIL
    - Operation has *completed* or *process has blocked*
- Atomic operations
  - Group of related operations
  - Performed without interruptions
    - Or not at all

PRIORITY INVERSION

Priority inversion

- Processes **L, M, H** (priority of **L** < **M** < **H**)
- Process **H** requires
  - Resource `R` being accessed by process **L**
  - Typically, **H** will wait for **L** to finish resource use
- **M** becomes runnable and preempts **L**
  - Process (**M**) with lower priority affects *how long* process **H** has to wait for **L** to release `R`

Priority inheritance protocol

- Process accessing resource needed by higher priority process
  - ***Inherits*** higher priority till it finishes resource use
  - Once done, process **reverts** to lower priority

The contents of this slide set are based on the following references

- *Avi Silberschatz, Peter Galvin, Greg Gagne. Operating Systems Concepts, 9th edition. John Wiley & Sons, Inc. ISBN-13: 978-1118063330.* [Chapter 5]
- *Andrew S Tanenbaum. Modern Operating Systems. 4th Edition, 2014. Prentice Hall. ISBN: 013359162X/ 978-0133591620.* [Chapter 2]